LFC Requester:	Julisa Rodriguez
LI C Ixcquester.	Julisa Rouliguez

# **AGENCY BILL ANALYSIS - 2025 REGULAR SESSION**

## WITHIN 24 HOURS OF BILL POSTING, UPLOAD ANALYSIS TO

AgencyAnalysis.nmlegis.gov and email to billanalysis@dfa.nm.gov

CECTION	II. CENEDAI IN	,	ist be uploaded as	s a PDI	F)
	NI: GENERAL IN unalysis is on an origina			ion of a p	revious bill}
	Date Prepared:	2/05/2025	Check all that apply:		
	Bill Number:	HB 13	Origin	ıal	Correction X_
			Amen	dment	Substitute
Sponsor:	Rep. Hochman-V	igil	Agency Name and Code Number:		– Public Regulation mmission
Short	Distribution System and Electrification Plans		Person Writing Phone: (505)490-269		Scott Cameron
Title:					66 <b>Email</b> jerri.mares@prc.nm.gov
<b>SECTION</b>	NII: FISCAL IMP		TION (dollars in	thousa	nds)

Appropriation		Recurring	Fund	
FY25	FY26	or Nonrecurring	Affected	

(Parenthesis ( ) indicate expenditure decreases)

## **REVENUE** (dollars in thousands)

Estimated Revenue			Recurring	Fund
FY25	FY26	FY27	or Nonrecurring	Affected

(Parenthesis ( ) indicate revenue decreases)

## ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY25	FY26	FY27	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total		\$55.4	\$58.7	\$114.1	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to: Duplicates/Relates to Appropriation in the General Appropriation Act

## **SECTION III: NARRATIVE**

### **BILL SUMMARY**

### Synopsis:

HB 13 would add a new section to Chapter 62 of the NMSA to require public utilities to draft triennial distribution system plans for Commission approval, add four new definitions and a new section for Beneficial Electrification Plans (BEPs") to the Efficient Use of Energy Act ("EUEA"), and add a new section to the Public Utility Act ("PUA") for Virtual Power Plant ("VPP") programs.

#### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

HB 13 would have an additional financial impact on the PRC for the following additional resources: 1/16 of Office of General Counsel attorney (\$9,450), 1/8 Legal attorney (\$18,220), 1/16 public utilities economist (\$7,530), 1/16 Utilities Division engineer (\$8,900), 1/8 hearing examiner (\$11,300). For a total of annual cost to PRC of \$55,400 in FY26 and \$58,700 in FY27.

#### SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

In Section 1 the commission is directed to finalize a rule "no later than December 1, 2025" to promulgate a rule that establishes a staggered filing schedule as determined by the commission. 183 days is not enough time to promulgate this rule, along with the other two required by the Bill (see below).

Section 1(A) and (F), when read in concert, appear to (a) require utilities to undertake distribution system planning upgrades, prepurchase equipment, and hire staff necessary to achieve certain standards and (b) require the Commission to allow cost recovery for these expenses. It is unclear whether these standards are intended to replace, modify, or supplement traditional cost recovery principles (e.g., reasonable and prudent; used and useful). This could lead to significant uncertainty for ratepayers, utilities, and other interested stakeholders.

Section 1(J) seems to require the Commission to enforce the statute, but without giving the Commission the explicit authority to do so.

In Section 3, "on or before January 30, 2026" for the Commission to direct utilities to file Beneficial Electrification Plans will require rulemaking in 213 days, which is too short of a timeframe.

In Section 3(B) the bill requires utilities to combine the new beneficial electrification plans with existing Efficient Use of Energy Act plans. Under the bill, beneficial electrification plans and any relating rate recovery mechanism must be acted upon by the Commission within 180 days. For new programs, the Efficient Use of Energy Act has no statutory deadline and often requires more than 180 to fully vet, adjudicate and approve. Under Section 62-17-6, the Commission must act on a tariff rider to fund approved programs within 180 days. A combined filing of a beneficial electrification plan along with an unapproved or new Efficient Use of Energy Act plan may result in the Commission addressing these plans on two separate tracks within a single proceeding. Alternatively, combined filings may create uncertainty regarding a potential de facto deadline of 180 days for the Commission to act upon Efficient Use of Energy Act plans.

In section 4, "No later than February 1, 2026" to promulgate a rule would only give the Commission 214 days to complete the rulemaking process, and the mandatory language "requiring each qualifying retail utility to file an application to implement a virtual power plant program" leaves no room for opting out of the virtual power plant program application for any utility that doesn't have the ability to institute one, nor does the statute spell out the penalties should a utility not apply for a virtual power plant program.

Also in Section 4(E), the term "slate of programs" is used for the first time in this section, and it is unclear what the difference would be between a virtual power plant program and a slate of programs – it is unclear if a virtual power plant program would encompass a slate of programs, or if it refers to multiple virtual power plant programs.

## PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

None

### ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS

HB 13 would require at least three separate rulemakings that would be a significant burden on the PRC in the short time frames required. If a rulemaking were to be completed in exactly the time required by rule, it would take 150 days. With substantial new programs such as these three, the Commission will need to hold workshops to get stakeholder input and draft completely new rules, which adds significant time and resources to the timeline.

The bill would have significant staff resource implications for the PRC, across all divisions except Pipeline Safety:

- 1. The requirements for at least three rulemakings;
- 2. The requirement for electric public utility plan applications that will need to be reviewed, analyzed, and decided upon;
- 3. The requirement that the Commission take action on the submission of a plan within 180 days;
- 4. The allowance for the electric public utilities to recover costs through tariff riders, which riders will require analysis by Commission staff;
- 5. The requirement that the electric public utilities submit annual reports, which will require analysis and review;
- 6. The requirement that the Commission establish target energization time periods within 180 days of the effective date of the bill; and
- 7. Requiring the Commission to conduct enforcement proceedings.

### CONFLICT, DUPLICATION, COMPANIONSHIP, RELATIONSHIP

None

### **TECHNICAL ISSUES**

None

## **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

None

# **ALTERNATIVES**

None

# WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL

Status quo

# **AMENDMENTS**

None