1	SENATE BILL 219
2	54TH LEGISLATURE - STATE OF NEW MEXICO - FIRST SESSION, 2019
3	INTRODUCED BY
4	Peter Wirth and Brian Egolf
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	AN ACT
11	RELATING TO HISTORIC PRESERVATION; PROVIDING PROCEDURES FOR THE
12	APPLICATION OF THE HISTORIC DISTRICT AND LANDMARK ACT TO ALL
13	PROJECTS INVOLVING STATE LAND OR LAND HELD IN TRUST BY THE
14	STATE.
15	
16	BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO:
17	SECTION 1. Section 3-22-6 NMSA 1978 (being Laws 2009,
18	Chapter 23, Section 1) is amended to read:
19	"3-22-6. APPLICABILITY TO STATE CAPITAL OUTLAY PROJECTS
20	AND PROJECTS ON STATE LANDLIMITATION
21	A. Recognizing the fragility of the state's
22	historic heritage, the purpose of this section is to establish
23	a procedure under which the state and its municipalities and
24	counties will commit to collaborate in good faith and work
25	jointly to preserve and protect the historic districts of New
	.211906.1

[bracketed material] = delete <u>underscored material = new</u>

Mexico.

1

2 Β. Ordinances enacted by a municipality or county pursuant to the Historic District and Landmark Act shall apply 3 to a state capital outlay project only as provided in this 4 section and only if the ordinances contain special provisions 5 and standards applicable to state buildings, including 6 7 provisions concerning the design, construction, alteration or demolition of the exterior features of state buildings. 8 Τf 9 requested by a resolution of the governing body of a municipality or county, the staff of the capitol buildings 10 planning commission shall work jointly with the staff of the 11 12 municipality or county in developing the provisions and standards required by this subsection. 13

C. The applicable state agency shall carry out a capital outlay project in a manner that is harmonious and generally compatible with the municipal or county ordinances.

D. Before commencing the design phase of a capital outlay project, the applicable state agency shall consult with the municipality or county as to the design standards in the ordinances and how those design standards would impact costs and the operation or manner in which the capital outlay project will ultimately be expected to function; provided that, if the municipality or county has an agency or other entity review projects within the area zoned as [an] <u>a</u> historic district or landmark, then the consultation shall be with that review

- 2 -

.211906.1

<u>underscored material = new</u> [bracketed material] = delete 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 agency or other entity. The state agency shall work 2 collaboratively with the municipality or county or its review agency or other entity to arrive at compatibility with the 3 design standards, considering reasonable costs and preserving 4 essential functionality. If the municipality or county has 5 identifiable community groups involved in historic 6 7 preservation, the agency shall also make every reasonable effort to obtain input from members of those identified groups 8 9 before commencing the design phase.

E. After the design phase and before soliciting a bid or a proposal for design-build or lease-purchase for a capital outlay project, the applicable state agency shall transmit its plans for review and comment to the municipality or county or its review agency or other entity and shall also conduct a public meeting to receive public input. Notice of the public meeting shall also be given to any identifiable community groups involved in historic preservation in the municipality or county.

F. Within sixty days after the public meeting, the municipality or county or its review agency or other entity, any identifiable historic preservation community group and any other interested party shall communicate recommendations and comments in writing to the state agency. The state agency shall consult with the municipality or county or its review agency or other entity to resolve any issues raised. If, at .211906.1

<u>underscored material = new</u> [bracketed material] = delete 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 3 -

1 the end of the sixty-day period, unresolved issues remain, the 2 municipality or county may, within five days after the end of 3 the period, notify the applicable state agency that the issues remain unresolved and should be finally determined pursuant to 4 Subsection G of this section; provided that, if notice is not 5 timely given, the applicable state agency may, after 6 7 incorporating those provisions to which the state agency and the municipality or county have agreed, proceed with the 8 9 capital outlay project. If notice is timely given by a municipality or 10 G. county, pursuant to Subsection F of this section, that issues 11 12 remain unresolved, those issues shall be decided pursuant to the following provisions: 13 (1) within five days after the notice, a 14 state-local government historic review board shall be formed, 15 consisting of eight members as follows: 16

(a) one member appointed by the capitol buildings planning commission, who shall chair the board and who shall vote only if there is a tie among the other board members present;

(b) one member appointed by the cultural properties review committee;

(c) the state historic preservation
officer or a designee of the officer;

(d) one member appointed by the agency

- 4 -

.211906.1

underscored material = new
[bracketed material] = delete

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 or other entity that reviews projects within the area zoned as
2 [an] a historic district or landmark; provided that, if the
3 municipality or county has no such agency or other entity, the
4 member shall be appointed by the governing body of the
5 municipality or county;

6 (e) one member appointed by the agency 7 or entity of the municipality or county that is concerned with 8 historic preservation; provided that, if the municipality or 9 county has no such agency or other entity, the member shall be 10 appointed by the governing body of the municipality or county; 11 and

(f) three public members who have a demonstrated interest in historic preservation appointed as follows: <u>1</u>) one member appointed by the secretary of general services; <u>2</u>) one member appointed by the governing body of the municipality or county; and <u>3</u>) one public member appointed by the other two public members;

(2) the staff of the capitol buildings planning commission shall serve as the staff of the state-local government historic review board; and

(3) the state-local government historic review board shall, at a public meeting, consider each of the unresolved issues and, within twenty days of its formation shall, for each issue, make a final decision that is harmonious and generally compatible with the municipal or county

- 5 -

.211906.1

underscored material = new [bracketed material] = delete 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 ordinance.

[bracketed material] = delete

underscored material = new

Appeals from the decisions of the state-local 2 н. government historic review board shall be taken to the district 3 4 court in the manner provided in Section 39-3-1.1 NMSA 1978. 5 I. The state agency shall not take any irrevocable action on the capital project in reliance on the plans until 6 the procedures set forth in Subsections F and G of this section 7 have been followed. 8 J. The provisions of this section shall apply to 9 10 any new construction or renovation project on land that is owned by the state or is held in trust by the state, regardless 11 12 of the source of funding for the project." - 6 -13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 .211906.1