Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current and previously issued FIRs are available on the NM Legislative Website (www.nmlegis.gov) and may also be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR	Ortiz y Pino	ORIGINAL DATE LAST UPDATED	1/31/18 HB		
SHORT TITI	LE Marijuana Posses	sion, Use & Taxes, CA	SJR	4	
			ANALYST	Daly	

ESTIMATED ADDITIONAL OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT (dollars in thousands)

	FY18	FY19	FY20	3 Year Total Cost	Recurring or Nonrecurring	Fund Affected
Total		See Fiscal Implications			Nonrecurring	Election Fund
		See Fiscal Implications	See Fiscal Implications	See Fiscal Implications	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Related to SM 5.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Responses Received From

Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC)

Administrative Office of the District Attorneys (AODA)

Department of Agriculture (NMDA)

Department of Health (DOH) (2016)

Department of Public Safety (DPS)

Economic Development Department (EDD)

Law Offices of the Public Defender (LOPD) (2017)

New Mexico Attorney General (NMAG)

Regulation & Licensing Department (RLD)

Secretary of State (SOS)

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD) (2016)

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Joint Resolution

Senate Joint Resolution 4 proposes to amend the state constitution by adding a new section to allow for possession and personal use of marijuana for persons 21 years of age and older. This provision is not self-executing, but depends on implementing legislature regulating the use and taxation of marijuana to protect public health and safety. Any state tax revenues are to be distributed to the general fund. The resolution is to be submitted for approval by the people of the state in the next general election (November 2018) or any special election called for that purpose.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

Section 1-16-13 NMSA 1978 requires the SOS to print the full text of each proposed constitutional amendment, in both Spanish and English, in an amount equal to 10 percent of the registered voters of the state. The SOS is constitutionally required to public the full text of each proposed constitutional amendment once a week for four weeks preceding the election in newspapers in every county in the state. According to the SOS, the most recent cost to print a constitutional amendment is \$47.60 per word.

In its analysis of a similar resolution introduced in the 2016 session, DPS reported there are indeterminate fiscal implications to its budget, assuming passage of the proposed constitutional amendment. If this language is approved by voters, then the production, sale and taxation of marijuana in New Mexico would be legal. It is presumed that there would be reasonable time, place and manner restrictions on these activities, and it is assumed that various entities within DPS, notably the New Mexico State Police Division, the Motor Transportation Police Division and the Crime Laboratory, would all be required to enforce various aspects of the new legal process.

AOC anticipates that this amendment and enactment of the implementing legislation it requires would decrease caseloads in the courts. It would limit the prosecution for possession of marijuana to people under the age of twenty-one, and only amounts over any limits provided in the implementing legislation, by people twenty-one years of age or older. It would foreclose the prosecution of marijuana related paraphernalia by people twenty-one years of age or older. Depending on the enforcement of implementing legislation and commenced prosecutions, courts will incur costs of processing cases for violations of the law's provisions; however, the number of such cases was anticipated to be significantly less than the amount of cases currently processed under the prohibition of non-medical marijuana. Similar decreases are anticipated for AODA and LOPD.

As reported by LOPD in its analysis of a similar resolution introduced in 2017 session, a study of FY12-16 run by its IT staff found that public defenders were appointed in well over 2500 cases in which the statute criminalizing possession of marijuana or synthetic cannabinoids was the primary charge. The removal of criminal sanctions resulting from the adoption of implementing legislation following voter approval of the amendment for many of these cases could have a positive effect on the workload of the LOPD. To the extent this results in fewer trials, fewer resources would have to be allocated to the state's criminal justice system, including LOPD, the district attorneys, OAG, the courts, counties and possibly Department of Corrections.

Because the proposed amendment contains no parameters outlining the implementing legislation, including neither tax rates nor directives as to a regulating agency, no meaningful anticipated revenue or estimated operating budget impacts can be projected. However, regulating legislation proposed in 2017 (HB 89 and SB 278) each contained a comprehensive regulatory and tax framework regarding recreational marijuana, and assigned RLD the task of regulating production, processing and wholesale and retail sales. In 2017, RLD estimated its costs under such a regulatory framework to be approximately \$7.7 million per year. NMDA suggests that it could have additional duties imposed by implementing legislation, such as licensing and inspecting growing facilities and their operations, pesticide approval, registration and compliance support/ which would increase its operational expenses. Both bills imposed a 15 percent tax; based on its analysis of proposed legislation proposed in prior years containing that tax rate,

TRD projected revenues between \$27.3 million and \$43.3 million in the first two years of implementation.

SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

AODA has previously reported that a 2013 national survey on drug use and health found 19.8 million people had used marijuana in the past month. The study also found that daily, or almost daily, use of marijuana (used on 20 or more days in the past month) had increased to 8.1 million persons from 5.1 million in 2005. SJR 4 allows only persons 21 years old or older to lawfully possess and use marijuana. AODA warned that if marijuana possession and use are made more accessible in New Mexico, it is likely that it will become more available to persons under 21, including children under age 18. It noted that there are currently 23 states, including New Mexico, the District of Columbia and Guam that permit medical marijuana. See, e.g., Sec. 26-2B-1, et seq., NMSA 1978. AODA further reported that in the states that have legalized marijuana, many marijuana users are pursuing medical marijuana cards because it is cheaper to buy, especially where recreational marijuana is heavily taxed.

More generally, production, sale, use and taxation of marijuana are still contrary to federal law, as EDD, AODA and NMAG all stress in their analyses. As NMAG advises, federal laws classify marijuana as a controlled substance and provide criminal penalties for its manufacture, distribution, possession or use. These federal criminal laws are enforced by federal government agencies that act independently of state and local government law enforcement agencies. AODA advises the United States Supreme Court has held that Congress' authority under the Commerce Clause includes the power to prohibit the local cultivation and use of marijuana – even where that cultivation and use is completely intrastate and is in compliance with state law. See *Gonzales v. Raich*, 545 U.S. 1 (2005). See also *Gonzales v. Oregon*, 546 U.S. 243 (2006). Until recently the Department of Justice has refrained from enforcing federal cannabis laws in states that have legalized its use. However, in January 2018, United States Attorney General Jeff Sessions reinstated a policy of enforcing the federal marijuana laws in states that have legalized its use. Thus, AODA concludes, while SJR 4 sets out a road-map for the legislature to legalize recreational marijuana use in New Mexico to protect public health and safety, it does not address the potential legal issues, including the conflict with federal law.

NMAG, as well as AODA, calls attention to existing state law allowing use of medical marijuana, and questions whether SJR 4's requirement that implementing legislation be adopted for possession and personal use of marijuana could bar such use following future passage of the amendment until implementing legislation is enacted. On the other hand, it may be argued that as medical usage has already been provided by law, that act satisfies that requirement. See the Lynn and Erin Compassionate Use Act, NMSA 1978, Sections 26-2B-1 through 7.

AOC's analysis provides another factor that may be considered upon adoption of this constitutional amendment (and implementing legislation enacted): its potential to reduce caseloads in the courts even beyond the immediate effect of ending the prohibition on personal marijuana usage. Depending on legislative allocation of revenue generated from the taxation of marijuana, criminal conduct related to alcohol, drug and related mental health issues may be reduced, as well as reducing recidivism by providing resources for the state's substance abuse and mental health providers.

In its 2016 analysis, DPS advised it remains opposed to marijuana legalization for recreational use even if regulated. It warns that the danger to New Mexico's citizens emanating from a new category of legalized substances by which individuals could end up driving impaired cannot be overstated. This is particularly so because there is no simple means of testing for marijuana impairment. A breath test is only effective to detect alcohol. As AODA further explains, there is currently no plain or specific limit for driving while under the influence of any drug. Instead, the current statute says, "it is unlawful for persons who are under the influence of any drug to a degree that renders the person incapable of safely driving a vehicle to drive a vehicle within the state." See Section 66 -8-102(B) NMSA 1978. The prosecution of those cases is challenging, especially when the driver is suspected, or proven, of being under the influence of poly-drug combination, or alcohol and drugs. Expert testimony requirements will increase and trials will probably be longer, more complicated and more expensive.

PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

NMDA notes that currently it has regulatory authority which would apply to the growing and production of marijuana, and impose additional obligations by the department to ensure compliance through licensing, issuance of certificates, and/or inspection. This may include additional processing of license application for plant sales; inspection for plant pests and disease and issuance of phytosanitary certificates (relating to control of plant diseases in agricultural goods that cross borders); licensing and inspection for pesticide use and worker protection standard compliance; labeling, sale and inspection of seed; inspection of scales used for weighing product sold on a weight basis; and registration review and approval for pesticide, fertilizer or soil conditioner products utilized in marijuana production.

RELATIONSHIP

SJR 4 relates to HM 5, which calls on the state's congressional delegation to enact federal law protecting medical cannabis users in New Mexico.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

DOH, in its analysis of marijuana legislation introduced in the 2016 session, reported these health-related concerns related to marijuana:

Marijuana is not a benign substance. A number of negative consequences of marijuana use are known despite the Federal restrictions on marijuana that have limited research into the effects (either positive or negative). Among them:

- Addiction/Dependence: The lifetime risk of dependence is about 9 percent of marijuana users. While this is lower than the risks for nicotine, heroin, cocaine, and alcohol, it is not negligible (Bostwick, 2012). Addiction/Dependence also entails a withdrawal syndrome (Greydanus, et al, 2013, Bostwick, 2012).
- Research studies have noted that cannabis users "demonstrate important deficits in prospective memory and executive functioning that exist beyond acute cannabis intoxication" (Greydanus, et al, 2013). This appears to be a relatively subtle effect.
- Chronic use of cannabis is associated with increased rates of psychosis. Frequent cannabis use doubles the risk for schizophrenia and psychotic symptoms

- (Greydanus, et al, 2013). The question of whether cannabis causes psychosis remains unresolved, but there is some evidence that it worsens the course of psychotic illness (Bostwick, 2012).
- The risk of motor vehicle crashes involving death or injury is about two times as high for drivers under the influence of marijuana than for sober drivers. Tests used in the field for the detection of impaired drivers may not be precise enough to detect marijuana (Greydanus, et al, 2013).

Further, DOH commented that many of the ill effects of marijuana are magnified for adolescent users. The average age for beginning marijuana use is around 18 years of age. Dependence and psychosis are much more common among users who begin in their teens, especially the early teens (Bostwick, 2012). Research has shown permanent changes in the brains of persistent users who began use in their early teens (Greydanus, et al, 2013, Bostwick, 2012). Dependence seems quite rare in users who began after age 25.

DOH also presented these observations from two states that have legalized marijuana:

- Most teens who enter substance abuse treatment programs in Washington State report that marijuana is the main or only drug they use (Washington State Tobacco, Alcohol and Other Drug Trends Report, 2012). Colorado and Washington have seen increases in emergency department visits from children accidentally consuming THC-laced products since their laws legalizing marijuana went into effect.

 (http://www.oregonlive.com/opinion/index.ssf/2014/11/legal_pot_will_boost_traffic_a.ht ml)
- In Colorado, marijuana-related exposures for children five and under have increased 268 percent from 2006-2009 to 2010-2013, triple the national rate, according to the Rocky Mountain High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area (HIDTA) Partnership. In Colorado, use of marijuana among 12-17 year-olds is 39 percent higher, and use of marijuana among 18-25 year-olds is 42 percent higher, than the national rate for adolescents of the same age.

(The Legalization of Marijuana in Colorado: The Impact – Volume 3, January 2016, available at http://www.rmhidta.org)

Finally, DOH reported marijuana legalization would likely increase use among teens who already use marijuana, according to data from a survey of U.S. high school students. Nearly two-thirds of teens who reported using marijuana at least once in their lifetime said that legalizing the drug would make them more likely to use it. In addition, more than three-fourths of heavy marijuana users reported that legalizing the drug would make them more likely to use it. And sixteen percent of teens who reported that they had never used marijuana agreed that they would be more likely to use marijuana if it were legal. (Adapted by CESAR from The Partnership for a Drug-Free America and the MetLife Foundation, The Partnership Attitude Tracking Study (PATS): Teens and Parents, 2013

http://www.ibhinc.org/pdfs/CESARFAX2226TeensReportedUseofMarijuanaIfLegal.pdf)

In its 2016 analysis of then-pending legislation legalizing and regulating recreational marijuana, TRD suggested New Mexico can learn from other states, like Colorado, that have legalized marijuana. The Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD) references a published report "The

Legalization of Marijuana in Colorado: The Impact" Vol. 2/August 2014. The impact study was targeted on the following areas: impaired driving, youth marijuana use, adult marijuana use, emergency room admissions, marijuana-related exposure cases, and diversion of Colorado marijuana outside the state. The following observations were the results of the study prior to and during the creation of the marijuana industry in Colorado:

Impaired Driving:

- The majority of driving-under-the-influence-of-drugs arrests involve marijuana and 25 to 40 percent were marijuana alone.
- Toxicology reports with positive marijuana results for driving under the influence have increased 16 percent from 2011 to 2013.
- Traffic fatalities involving operators testing positive for marijuana have increased 100 percent from 2007 to 2012.

Youth Marijuana use:

- In 2012, 10.47 percent of youth ages 12 to 17 were considered current marijuana users compared to 7.55 percent nationally. Colorado, ranked 4th in the nation, was 39 percent higher than the national average.
- Drug-related suspensions/expulsions increased 32 percent from school years 2008/2009 through 2012/2013. The vast majority were for marijuana violations.

Adult Marijuana Use:

- In 2012, 26.81 percent of college age students (ages 18 25 years) were considered current marijuana users compared to 18.89 percent nationally. Colorado, ranked third in the nation, was 42 percent higher than the national average.
- In 2012, 7.63 percent of adults ages 26 and over were considered current marijuana users compared to 5.05 percent nationally. Colorado, ranked seventh in the nation, was 51 percent higher than the national average.
- In 2013, 48.4 percent of Denver adult arrestees tested positive for marijuana, a 16 percent increase from 2008.

Emergency Room Marijuana Admission:

- From 2011 through 2013, there was a 57 percent increase in marijuana-related emergency room visits.
- Hospitalizations related to marijuana have increased 82 percent from 2008 to 2013.
- In 2012, the City of Denver rate for marijuana-related emergency visits was 45 percent higher than the rate in the state of Colorado.

Marijuana-Related Exposure:

- Marijuana-related exposures for children ages 0 to 5 on average have increased 268 percent from 2006–2009 to 2010-2013.
- Colorado's rate of marijuana-related exposures is triple the national average.

Treatment:

• Over the last nine years, the top three drugs involved in treatment admissions have been alcohol, marijuana and amphetamines.

Diversion of Colorado Marijuana:

- Highway interdiction seizures of Colorado marijuana destined to 40 other states increased 397 percent from 2008 to 2013.
- The average pounds of Colorado marijuana seized, destined for other states, increased 33.5 percent from 2005 to 2008 compared to 2009 to 2013.

Diversion by Parcel:

• U.S. Mail parcel interceptions, with Colorado marijuana destined for 33 other states, increased 1,280 percent from 2010 to 2013.

• U.S. Mail pounds of Colorado marijuana seized, destined for 33 other states, increased 762 percent from 2010 to 2013.

THC Extraction Labs:

- In 2013, there were 12 THC extraction lab explosions and in the first half of 2014 the amount more than doubled.
- In 2013, there were 18 injuries from THC extraction labs and in the first half of 2014 there were 27 injuries.

Related Data:

- Overall, crime in Denver increased 6.7 percent from the first six months of 2013 to the first six months of 2014.
- The number of pets poisoned from ingesting marijuana has increased four-fold in the past six years.
- Colorado estimates for annual revenue from the sale of recreational marijuana varies from \$65 million (.6 percent of all expected general fund revenue) to \$118 million (1.2 percent of all expected general fund revenue).
- The majority of counties and cities in Colorado have banned recreational marijuana businesses.
- THC (tetrahydrocannabinol) potency has risen from an average of 3.96 percent in 1995 to an average of 12.33 percent in 2013.

In its 2016 analysis, DPS provided this additional information:

In a report by the Rocky Mountain HIDTA Investigative Support Center, *The Legalization of Marijuana in Colorado: The Impact Volume 4* (September 2016), on pages 1 and 2, it was reported, among other things, in part that "[m]arijuana-related traffic deaths increased 48 percent in the three-year average since Colorado legalized recreational marijuana compared to the three-average (2010-2012) prior to legalization... During the same time, all traffic deaths increased 11 percent." It was further reported that "[i]n 2009, Colorado marijuana-related traffic deaths involving operators testing positive for marijuana represented 10 percent of all traffic fatalities. By 2015, that number doubled to 21 percent." The report noted that "Washington legalized recreational marijuana at the same time as Colorado.... [m]any of the same trends in Colorado related to legal marijuana also are occurring in Washington. These trends include: impaired driving, traffic fatalities, use among teens and exposure..."]

MD/ile/al