

NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.

Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

SPONSOR:	Cisneros	DATE TYPED:	02/05/00	HB	
SHORT TITLE:	Local Government Detention Costs			SB	361
				ANALYST:	Gonzales

APPROPRIATION

Appropriation Contained		Estimated Additional Impact		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY00	FY01	FY00	FY01		
	\$ 100.0			Recurring	GF

(Parenthesis () Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

Duplicates HB161.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

Local Government Division, Department of Finance and Administration

SUMMARY

Synopsis of Bill

Senate Bill 361 makes a \$100.0 general fund appropriation to pay the detention costs owed by Mora county to San Miguel county.

Significant Issues

The LGD indicated that an appropriation of this kind is a one-time solution to a problem that is recurring in many counties due to the substantial increase in the number of prisoner days in county jails in recent years.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

This bill appropriates \$100.0 from the general fund to LGD to pay directly to San Miguel county. As of January 25, 2000, the current balance due San Miguel county from Mora county is approximately \$63.0. Unexpended or unencumbered balances remaining at the end of FY01 revert to the general fund.

OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

According to LGD, many county jails are experiencing dramatic increases in the number of prisoner days of incarceration time and the associated increase in operating costs for the facilities. Many of the prisoners are out-of-county or out-of-state residents.

Mora county has statutory authority to impose an additional 1/4% in gross receipts rates subject to voter approval which would raise approximately an additional \$67.5 for general purposes. The revenue, however would not be available until FY01 due to the need for holding special elections to obtain voter approval and the statutory timing of the effective dates for increases in gross receipts tax rates.

JMG/sb