

**NOTE: As provided in LFC policy, this report is intended for use by the standing finance committees of the legislature. The Legislative Finance Committee does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of the information in this report when used in any other situation.**

**Only the most recent FIR version, excluding attachments, is available on the Intranet. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC office in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.**

**FISCAL IMPACT REPORT**

|              |                                |             |          |          |          |
|--------------|--------------------------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|
| SPONSOR:     | Sandel                         | DATE TYPED: | 01/25/00 | HB       | 31       |
| SHORT TITLE: | Exempt Coal from Certain Taxes |             |          | SB       |          |
|              |                                |             |          | ANALYST: | Williams |

**REVENUE**

| Estimated Revenue |              | Subsequent<br>Years Impact | Recurring<br>or Non-Rec | Fund<br>Affected |
|-------------------|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| FY00              | FY01         |                            |                         |                  |
|                   | \$ (4,397.0) | \$ (4,880.0)               | Recurring               | General Fund     |

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Revenue Decreases)

Duplicates/Conflicts with/Companion to/Relates to

**SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Taxation and Revenue Department (TRD)

Energy, Minerals and Natural Resources Department (EMNRD)

## **SUMMARY**

### Synopsis of Bill

Removes coal from the list of natural resources subject to resource excise tax and oil and gas conservation tax. These are both General Fund revenue sources. Effective date would be July 1, 2000.

## **FISCAL IMPLICATIONS**

Taxation and Revenue Department estimates recurring revenue loss to the General Fund at \$4,397.0 in FY01, reflecting the two month lag between production and tax collection for the conservation tax. Full year impact is estimated at \$4,880.0 loss in General Fund revenue.

## **ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

None noted for either agency.

## **OTHER SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES**

TRD notes the New Mexico average effective tax rate is the second highest in the western producing states at 12.1%, only exceeded by Montana at 15.2%. This legislation would reduce the average effective tax rate to 11.3%, which would still keep New Mexico ahead of Wyoming at 10.4%, Colorado at 4.6%, Arizona at 2.6% and Utah at 0.7%.

As noted in both agencies' analyses, with the spread of electric industry deregulation throughout the nation, competitive pressures to reduce costs are leading to changes in the coal industry. Electric generators are seeking low cost sources of supply in an environment where the largest amount of variable generating costs are attributable to fuel delivered to the generating station. Also, long-term contracts are expiring, and spot-type coal sales are becoming more common.

EMNRD notes the market for New Mexico coal has become increasingly depressed, and New Mexico coal production costs are escalating due to geologic factors.

TRD notes this legislation does not address the issue of gross receipts tax pyramiding.

AW/njw