Logistics and Resources Needed For Legislative District or Regional Staff Offices and Operations



THE**FOCUS**GROUP An HMA Company Research. Strategy. Transformation. www.thefocusgroup.solutions



Introductions to the new faces in the room

The Focus Group	Research and Polling	Architectural Research Consultants	NCSL – Center for Legislative Strengthening
Alex Rich	Brian Sanderoff	John Petronis, AICP,	Natalie Wood
Managing Director	President	AIA, REFP	Director
		President, Architect/	
Patrick Woods		Planner	Josalyn Williams
Principal			Policy specialist
		Andy Aguilar	
Katie Dry		Senior facilities planner	Selena Saucedo
Independent consultant			Senior policy specialist







Context setting	5 min	Raul Burciaga
 Staffing model recommendation 	30-35 min	TFG
 Legislator and staff surveys 	10-15 min	R&P
 Inventory of office space 	10-15 min	ARC
• NCSL	10-15 mins	NCSL
 Discussions, Q&A 	As needed	All
 Conclusion/ thanks 	5 min	Raul Burciaga



	Context setting	5 min	Raul Burciaga
	 Staffing model recommendation 	30-35 min	TFG
-	 Legislator and staff surveys 	10-15 min	R&P
	 Inventory of office space 	10-15 min	ARC
	• NCSL	10-15 mins	NCSL
	 Discussions, Q&A 	As needed	All
	 Conclusion/ thanks 	5 min	Raul Burciaga



An HMA Cor

Context: Staff support plays a strong role in enhancing legislative capacity

Enhanced capacity of legislature to perform its role in the policymaking process					
1 Session length	2 Legislator compen- sation		3 Staff support		
			Greater suppo	rt for:	
			 Leaders, 		
	Our se		Standing co		
	staffing for int		 Fiscal comi Political pair 		
THE FOCUS GROUP	support legisla	staff for	 Political particularies Legislature Individual legislature 	(individual agencies)	

We have followed a 3-step approach





Most states provide personal staff to their legislators; models and modes of implementation differ



THEFOCUSGROU



112 legislators received survey, ~70% responded and of those responses, ~71% legislators¹ either support or strongly support the addition of personal staff



2: 55 legislators support or strongly support, 17 oppose or strongly oppose, 6 are neutral – totaling to 78 legislators

THE**FOCUS**GROUP



There was motivation to delegate specific tasks equivalent to ~21 hours/ week per legislator

		# of legislators likely or extremely likely to delegate this activity				
	Hours/ week on various activities by an average legislator (rounded) Σ54	Those in favor of hiring support staff Out of Σ55	Those neutral to hiring support staff Out of Σ5	Those opposed to hiring support staff Out of Σ17	Average across all legislators Out of Σ77	,
Attending Interim Committee Hearings	10	28	1	4	33	43%
Admin Work	5	48	3	4	55	71% 🗘
Community Events	5	26	1	3	30	39%
Staying Informed	4	39	1	3	43	56% 🗘
Responding to Constituents	-3	41	4	2	47	61% 🗘
Collaborating with District	-3	35	3	2	40	52% 🛵
Conducting Research/Analysis	-3	44	4	5	53	69% 🗘
Collaborating with Colleagues	2	18	1	2	21	27%
Reviewing/Analyzing Bill/Amendment Drafts	-2	42	1	4	47	61% 🗘
THEFOCUSGROUP	17 21 38 Not delegated Delegated activities	-	otivation legate		1	



Five broad characteristics delineate staffing models for support staff for legislators



Options

We shortlisted 3 potential staffing models with opportunity for further optionality (... and driven by research, experiences from other states and results from legislator survey)

: recommended option

Attribute	Regional	District	Hybrid	
Staffing Level	30 FTE ~1 staff : 4 legislators	112 FTE 1 staff : 1 legislator	3 FTE	56 FTE 0.5 staff : 1 legislator
Location	12 regional offices	112 offices (likely combined when logistics allow)	Central	12 regional offices
Partisan/Non- Partisan	Non-Partisan	Partisan	Non-Partisan	Partisan
Job Duties		Admin, Community engagement, Policy support	Policy support	Admin, Community engagement
Estimated Annual Cost	\$4.10M	\$13.95M	\$7.28M	



Location and staffing

We followed a systematic process to identify office locations for the three staffing models





Office locations selected are just options for legislator consideration

Notes: In urban areas, it can be just outside the district. In some districts where there are currently no leased office spaces, new leases may need to be signed. Primarily for checking feasibility and for cost modelling. Maps reflect 2021 redistricting

E**FOCUS**GROUP



Ensuring ethical conduct of staff is a key concern identified by surveyed legislators. Training can help mitigate any risks by informing staff of constitutional, statutory and regulatory prohibitions and guidelines.



Staff cannot perform **personal** duties for legislators

2 Electioneering is not allowed while serving in an official capacity, and whilst using resources provided by the State of New Mexico

3 Partisan job duties are allowed but should be differentiated from electioneering (e.g., community engagement, social media posting, etc.)

4 Legislative staff conduct has statutorily prescribed limits

Key relevant statutes that govern legislative staff

- Governmental Conduct Act
- Gift Act
- Campaign Reporting Act
- State Ethics Commission Act
- Legislative Employees Code of Conduct
- Anti-Harassment Policy of Legislative Council
- Whistleblower Act
- Procurement Code
- Financial Disclosure Act

Authorizing provisions

While other states adopted a range of authorizing provisions, we believe amending statute to authorize personal staff in NM presents a stable, transparent option with precedence

Experiences of other states

State	Authorizing Provision	
Colorado	A joint rule (JR 39) outlines the role and numbers of legislative aides.	
Texas - House	Constitution sets up authority for House and Senate to exist and to operate as a body with staff. House resolution describes details.	
Alaska	Various statutes on partisan staff compensation, IT and overall appropriations for all House and Senate employees.	
North Carolina	Statute outlines duties of the Legislative Services Commission which houses the aides, but there is no specific language about assistants/aides.	
Nevada	vada An appropriation for additional staff was added to the Legislative Counsel Bureau budget.	
Utah	House and Senate budgets. No statute or policy.	

A few states interviewed for the report relied on a more informal approach to begin with and further clarified roles and responsibilities over time as both staff and legislators learned what worked best.

Options for authorizing provisions



- Present high degree of stability
- There is **precedence** of using statute in this way
- This approach relies on the legislative process for deliberation and public input, allowing for greater transparency (unlike reliance on constitutional authority or Council policy)
- Allows to build greater clarity on new roles and locations of support staff



: suggested approach





There are 2 methods of allocation of support to legislators: either FTE or budget. In making this choice, critical constitutional provisions should be considered. Management of staff to be jointly owned by the legislators and LCS

Methods of allocation

Allocation	State Examples	Pros	Cons		Propos
1. FTEs	Arizona Nevada Utah	Simple to implement and manage for non- partisan staff	 More difficult to tailor to needs of each district/legislator 		 LCS cent (e.g.
2. Budget to cover all costs associated with staff, including compensation, office space, utilities, IT, etc.	Texas	 Simple to implement and manage for partisan staff Highly tailored to needs of each legislator and district 	 Difficult to manage when specialist resources need to be pooled More work for legislators 	Feasible alternatives • Le in m	 Legi Legi in se man parti
3. Specific number of hours	Colorado	 Allows for some flexibility for legislators to decide who they hire and at what level 	 More admin effort to track time spent by staff to work for specific legislators 		



LCS handles all central functions (e.g., HR and accounting)



 Legislator participates in selection and management for partisan staff







We anticipate the total spend for implementing the hybrid model is ~\$7.28m/ year





During implementation, the legislature should remain alert to mitigating any potential risks



Risks for hybrid approach, see report for other options

Context setting	5 min	Raul Burciaga
 Staffing model recommendation 	30-35 min	TFG
 Legislator and staff surveys 	10-15 min	R&P
 Inventory of office space 	10-15 min	ARC
• NCSL	10-15 mins	NCSL
 Discussions, Q&A 	As needed	All
 Conclusion/ thanks 	5 min	Raul Burciaga



	Context setting	5 min	Raul Burciaga
	 Staffing model recommendation 	30-35 min	TFG
-	 Legislator and staff surveys 	10-15 min	R&P
	 Inventory of office space 	10-15 min	ARC
	• NCSL	10-15 mins	NCSL
	 Discussions, Q&A 	As needed	All
	 Conclusion/ thanks 	5 min	Raul Burciaga







Context setting	5 min	Raul Burciaga
 Staffing model recommendation 	30-35 min	TFG
 Legislator and staff surveys 	10-15 min	R&P
 Inventory of office space 	10-15 min	ARC
• NCSL	10-15 mins	NCSL
 Discussions, Q&A 	As needed	All
 Conclusion/ thanks 	5 min	Raul Burciaga





Context setting	5 min	Raul Burciaga
 Staffing model recommendation 	30-35 min	TFG
 Legislator and staff surveys 	10-15 min	R&P
 Inventory of office space 	10-15 min	ARC
• NCSL	10-15 mins	NCSL
 Discussions, Q&A 	As needed	All
 Conclusion/ thanks 	5 min	Raul Burciaga





Context setting	5 min	Raul Burciaga
 Staffing model recommendation 	30-35 min	TFG
 Legislator and staff surveys 	10-15 min	R&P
 Inventory of office space 	10-15 min	ARC
• NCSL	10-15 mins	NCSL
 Discussions, Q&A 	As needed	All
Conclusion/ thanks	5 min	Raul Burciaga

THE**FOCUS**GROUP

Thank you!





Various states along the professionalism scale



THE**FOCUS**GROUP



Results of legislator survey

of legislators opting to spend more time on specific activities should they be able to delegate up to 20 hours/week



of hours/week of support that legislators feel is needed





Every state has a different authorizing provision

State	Authorizing Provision	
Colorado	A joint rule (JR 39) outlines the role and numbers of	
	legislative aides.	
Texas -	Constitution sets up authority for House and Senate to exist	
House	and to operate as a body with staff. House resolution	
	describes details.	
Alaska	Various statutes on partisan staff compensation, IT and	
	overall appropriations for all House and Senate employees.	
North	Statute outlines duties of the Legislative Services	
Carolina	Commission which houses the aides, but there is no specific	
	language about assistants/aides.	
Nevada	An appropriation for additional staff was added to the	
	Legislative Counsel Bureau budget.	
Utah	House and Senate budgets. No statute or policy.	



Relevant provisions in NM constitution

Constitution of New Mexico			
Article 4, Section 9	Legislature is authorized to select its own officers and employees and fix compensation		
Article 4, Section 10	No compensation, perquisite or allowance given to members		
Article 9, Section 14	Anti-donation clause		
Statute			
Chapter 1, Article 19 NMSA	1978	Campaign Reporting Act	
Chapter 2, Article 3 NMSA	1978	Legislative Council and Legislative Council Service	
Chapter 2, Article 5 NMSA	1978	Legislative Finance Committee	
Chapter 2, Article 10 NMSA	1978	Legislative Education Study Committee	
Chapter 2, Article 14 NMSA	1978	Office of Chief Clerks	
Chapter 2, Article 15 NMSA 1978		Legislative Ethics	
Chapter 10, Article 15 NMS	A 1978	Open Meetings Act	
Chapter 10, Article 16 NMS	A 1978	Governmental Conduct Act	
Chapter 10, Article 16A NMSA 1978		Financial Disclosure Act	
Chapter 10, Article 16B NMSA 1978		Gift Act	
Chapter 10, Article 16G NM	apter 10, Article 16G NMSA 1978 State Ethics Commission Act		
Chapter 14, Article 2 NMSA	1978	Inspection of Public Records Act	
Policy			
Legislative Council Policies		#7, 8, 9, 12, 14, 15, 18, 20 and 21	

