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## FOREWORD

This publication was conceived in 1963 out of the realization that there was no single source or document in which legislators，the executive，the judiciary or the concerned public could find a concise history of all the piecemeal amendments that have been proposed or adopted to the Constitution of New Mexico．It has been a continuing pleasure of the Legislative Council Service to fill that void in the constitutional history of New Mexico for 20 revisions of that original 1963 document．

Legislative Council Service
Santa Fe，New Mexico


## INTRODUCTION

In a speech to the State Bar of New Mexico in 1943, Supreme Court Justice Thomas J. Mabry, a delegate to the 1910 constitutional convention, reflected on the work of that convention, observing:

> New Mexico's interests were varied and, in many cases, rather conflicting, and the idea of writing a constitution which would fairly serve the people for decades and not years merely, and which would, at the same time, pass muster in a congress then divided, politically, with a democratic house and a republican senate, and which would meet the approval of a most conservative president, was no little problem. ${ }^{1}$

Justice, and later Governor, Mabry was correct when he prophesied that the 1910 constitution would "fairly serve the people for decades and not years merely". In fact, it has done so for more than a century. In 1969, a second convention was held, producing a streamlined constitution that was defeated by 3,702 votes. Given this outcome, Justice Mabry's statement in 1943 that, "[A]ll of the few essential amendments adopted have been made through the more simple and direct method ${ }^{\prime 2}$ is as true today as it was then. The method to which he was referring is termed "piecemeal amendment".

Since New Mexico's statehood in 1912, piecemeal amendment of the Constitution of New Mexico has produced more than a "few essential amendments". Not including the 1911 "blue ballot" amendment, there have been 170 changes to the 1910 document.

[^0]A majority of the 1910 convention delegates did not see the need for many changes to "one of the grandest documents ever written for a people". ${ }^{3}$ If it were not for the overriding objection of Congress, the delegates would have given the voters one of the roughest amendment procedures ever written into a modern constitution. The fact that the citizens of New Mexico have viewed the immutability of a written constitution differently than the delegates supports the admonition of Thomas Jefferson, who, in 1744, maintained that no constitution can be "a perpetual law".

[^1]
## THE CONSTITUTION <br> OF NEW MEXICO

The 1910 CONSTITUTION ended the 59-year frustration
of the people of the New Mexico territory to gain equal footing as a state among the other 46 states in the union. However, admission of the territory was not to be on an equal footing with the other states. The Enabling Act passed by Congress and approved by President Taft on June 20, 1910 was really a compact specifying conditions that had to be accepted and prerequisites that had to be followed. These conditions were incorporated into the new constitution as Articles 21 and 22; and even though some of the conditions are no longer operative, the articles remain there today.

The document produced by the 1910 convention was accepted by Congress and the president. It was written by men of exceptional abilities who produced for the twentieth and twenty-first centuries a workable governmental structure, a reasonably sound fiscal base, a solid public educational system and protections for the civil and religious rights of Hispanic citizens and their children.

As distinguished from the whole body of constitutional law, the written portion of the Constitution of New Mexico consists of a preamble and 23 articles. Briefly, the 23 articles deal with the following broad categories:

| Article | Subject |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | - name of the state and its boundaries; |
| 2 | - bill of rights; |
| 3 | - distribution of powers of government; |
| 4 | - legislative department; |
| 5 | - executive department; |
| 6 | - judicial department; |
| 7 | - elective franchise; |
| 8 | - taxation and revenue; |
| 9 | - state, county and municipal indebtedness; |
| 10 | - county and municipal government; |
| 11 | - regulation of private corporations and utilities; |
| 12 | - education; |
| 13 | - public lands; |
| 14 | - public institutions; |
| 15 | - Department of Agriculture; |
| 16 | - irrigation and water rights; |


| Article | Subject |
| :---: | :--- |
| 17 | - state mine inspector and mining regulations; |
| 18 | - militia (national guard); |
| 19 | - amendment and revision procedures; |
| 20 | - miscellaneous procedures; |
| 21 | - compact with the United States regarding requirement for statehood; |
| 22 | - schedule for transition from territory to state; |
| $[23]$ | - prohibition of intoxicating liquor [repealed]; and |
| 24 | - contracts for development and production of minerals on state lands. |

Adopted in 1917, Article 23 prohibited the sale of intoxicating liquors in New Mexico. It was repealed in 1933 in concert with the repeal of the national constitutional prohibition that same year. With the exception of this repeal and the addition of Article 24, the practice in New Mexico has been to incorporate amendments by adding or deleting language in the pertinent article. This differs from the federal constitutional practice of making changes by adding new articles to the original document.

## METHOD OF

New Mexico are set forth in Article 19. Most of the delegates at the 1910 constitutional convention took great pride in their work and, therefore, did not see the necessity of creating an easy system for amending it, perhaps failing to understand that amending the constitution was, and is, essential to its continuing functionality. The drafters of the Constitution of the United States, however, did understand the importance of easy amendment, allowing the process to evolve with society. The procedure for constitutional amendment was a great eighteenth century contribution to modern political theory.

As adopted in 1910, Article 19 required that a legislative proposal for an amendment have a two-thirds' vote of the elected members of each house voting separately. The only exception was for amendments proposed at the first regular session convening two years after the adoption of the constitution and at each session convening every eighth year thereafter. No more than three amendments could be submitted at any one election.

Approval of the proposed amendment required an affirmative 40 percent vote of the people in at least one-half of the counties in the state. In addition, special protection was provided for Article 7, Sections 1 and 3, pertaining to election law, and Article 12, Sections 8 and 10 , pertaining to education. No amendment could be submitted to these sections "unless it be proposed by a vote of three-fourths of the members elected to each house voting separately. . .". As the final clincher, no amendment could be made to these requirements except by a constitutional convention.

When the Constitution of New Mexico was sent to Congress and the president for approval, there was a collective shaking of heads about Article 19. The new Democraticcontrolled Congress deemed it too harsh, and on August 21, 1911, the Smith-Flood Resolution passed. The Smith-Flood Resolution contained the following condition:
. . .before the proclamation of the President shall issue announcing the result of said election in New Mexico, and at the same time that the state election aforesaid is held [the 1911 general election for new state officers], the electors of New Mexico shall vote upon the following proposed amendment of their State constitution as a condition precedent to the admission of said State. . . . ${ }^{4}$
It should be noted that the condition required only the submission of the amendment

[^2]proposed by Congress to the New Mexico voters. It did not require that the amendment be adopted before Congress would approve the new constitution. In fact, another provision of the Smith-Flood Resolution said that if the proposed change in Article 19 was rejected by the voters, the original amendment provision of the convention would be considered adopted.

The amendment of Article 19 proposed by Congress to make amending the constitution easier was submitted to the voters at the 1911 general election on a separate paper ballot tinted blue. It was adopted by a vote of 34,897 to 22,831 and, with the exception of the 1996 changes, constitutes the present-day Article 19.

The article today authorizes only three methods for changing the Constitution of New Mexico. These methods may be broadly classified as legislative proposals of piecemeal amendments to the voters, amendment or revision by a constitutional convention and amendment or partial revision upon recommendation of a legislatively created independent commission to the legislature and further submitted to the voters.

## Piecemeal Amendment

When the legislature proposes amendments to the voters, it is not acting pursuant to its powers under Article 4, the legislative article, but is acting under the authority granted by Article 19 concerning amendments. The vehicle used to propose an amendment to the voters is a joint resolution, which may be introduced in either house but only in a regular legislative session. Unlike bills, the joint resolution is not subject to the limitation on introductions after the thirtieth day of an odd-year session or the fifteenth day of an even-year session, nor is it subject to a gubernatorial veto.

For most proposed amendments, passage and printing on the ballot results when an amendment receives a majority of the votes of all the elected members in each house voting separately. However, proposed amendments restricting the rights created by Sections 1 and 3 of Article 7 , pertaining to elections, and Sections 8 and 10 of Article 12, pertaining to education, must receive a vote of three-fourths of the members elected to each house voting separately before the amendments will be printed on the ballot.

In adopting a joint resolution, the legislature specifies that the proposed amendment will be submitted to the voters for approval or rejection at the next general election or at a special election prior to the general election that is called for that purpose. The special election cannot be held less than six months from the date of adjournment of the legislative session.

As mentioned, under the constitution, the governor plays no procedural role in the amendment process. Passage of a joint resolution sends the proposed amendment directly to the
secretary of state, who assigns it a constitutional amendment number and requires it to be printed on either the general election ballot or the special election ballot. In addition, with respect to proposed constitutional amendments, the secretary of state has other duties. Article 19 requires that the secretary of state publish the proposed constitutional amendments in newspapers in both English and Spanish for a specified number of weeks. Also, the secretary of state is required to make "reasonable efforts to provide notice of the content and purpose" of proposed amendments in indigenous languages and to minority language groups to inform voters about the amendments.

To date, piecemeal amendment has been the only successful procedure of the three set forth in Article 19 for constitutional change in New Mexico.

## Convention Method

The second method of constitutional change authorized by Article 19 is for the legislature to call a constitutional convention. The process must be initiated by the legislature (New Mexico not having a constitutional initiative) by the enactment of a joint resolution receiving at least a two-thirds' vote of all the members of each house voting separately. The question of calling a constitutional convention is then submitted to the voters at the next general election following the legislative session during which the joint resolution passed.

If the question is approved by a majority of those voting on it, the legislature is required at the next session to enact a law calling the convention. Article 19 is silent as to the content of this law as it is also silent on the manner of selecting the delegates, other than requiring that the number of delegates must be at least equal to the number of members elected to the house of representatives. Presumably, such a law could specify that delegates be appointed by the legislature and the governor. It could provide that the legislature itself constitutes the constitutional convention, as was once suggested by some legislators during the debate on the 1969 bill to call the second constitutional convention.

The law calling for the 1969 convention provided for a nonpartisan election of 70 delegates. It also set the date for the election of delegates, set the date for convening and adjournment, fixed the procedure for organization, appropriated money for operation and for payment of delegates and designated the secretary of state to act ex officio as temporary presiding officer.

Once organized, the convention becomes independent with regard to its own proceedings and content of subject matter as necessary to carry out the purposes for which it was called. There is some doubt as to the legislature's power to limit the scope or content of the
matters considered by the delegates of the convention.
Recommendations for revisions or amendments of the constitution made by the delegates of the convention must be submitted to the voters at an election date set by the delegates of the convention. The 1996 amendment of Article 19 provides that revisions or amendments proposed by the delegates of the convention may be submitted in whole or in part, or with alternatives, as decided by the delegates of the convention. If a majority vote favors a proposal or alternative, that proposal or alternative is adopted and becomes effective 30 days after the certification of the returns unless otherwise specified by the convention.

## Independent Commission

Constitutional Amendment 4 adopted at the 1996 general election made other significant changes to Article 19. In addition to preserving the manner in which convention recommendations can be submitted to the voters, the article now provides a third method of constitutional change. It authorizes the legislature to create an independent commission that may propose amendments separately or grouped as a single ballot question. Any commissionproposed amendments that are not substantially altered by the legislature may be submitted to the electors in the separate or single ballot form recommended by the commission. Presumably, this provision would allow the commission to propose the revision of one or more entire articles as a single ballot issue, thereby effecting constitutional change, much as a constitutional convention might do. As of the date of this publication, the legislature has not created by law the independent commission authorized in Article 19.

## Judicial Decision and Federal Preemption

The Constitution of New Mexico is the supreme law of the state except where it conflicts with the U.S. Constitution or any federal law made pursuant to the U.S. Constitution. In the Constitution of New Mexico, there are certain provisions that are nullified by judicial decisions rendered pursuant to interpretation of the U.S. Constitution or preemption by Congress under the authority of the U.S. Constitution. Following are examples.
» The first paragraph of Article 4, Section 4 apportions the state senate by county and establishes staggered terms for the election of members to that body. In 1966, a state court held this provision to be invalid because it violated the provisions of the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution. ${ }^{5}$ According to Attorney General Opinion 1988-06, staggered terms are not unconstitutional per se; however, the staggered-term provision was instituted based on the one-county apportionment and thus could not be implemented.

[^3]") Article 7, Section 1 still sets the minimum age for voting at 21 years. The TwentySixth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides that the right of United States citizens who are 18 years of age or older shall not be denied or their right to vote be abridged. Because of this federal amendment, a United States citizen is entitled to vote in New Mexico, regardless of the provisions of Article 7, Section 1, when the person reaches the age of 18, provided that all other voting requirements are met.
») Article 7, Section 1 requires, as a qualification for voting, residency in the state for 12 months and the county for 90 days and for 30 days in the precinct in which a person offers to vote. The federal Voting Rights Act, as amended in 1970, established a nationwide uniform residency period of 30 days in elections for president and vice president. The U.S. Supreme Court, soon thereafter, struck down a Tennessee law that required residency in the state for a year, and residency in the county for three months, before a person could register to vote in state or local elections. ${ }^{6}$ As applied to New Mexico, the decision effectively changed the residency requirements set forth in Article 7, Section 1 of the Constitution of New Mexico.
») Article 9, Sections 11 and 12 limit voting on school district and municipal bonds to owners of real estate in the school district or persons who have paid a property tax in the municipality. These conditions have been rendered inoperable by a series of federal and state court decisions that held that as long as the election in question "is not one of special interest, any classification other than residence, age and citizenship cannot stand absent a demonstration of compelling state interest". ${ }^{7}$

Consent of Congress was deemed necessary for the 1967 addition of Article 24 relating to mineral leases on state trust lands for the development of geothermal steam and waters; for the 1964 addition of Article 13, Section 3, confirming patents issued for portions of land sold under contract when the balance due on the sale contract was not paid at the time of the issuance of the patent; and in 1994 for proposed but unsuccessful amendments pertaining to the investment of the permanent funds. A similar amendment (CA 1) pertaining to investment of the permanent funds was successful in 1996, with the effective date of the amendment made conditional on the consent of Congress to Sections 2, 3 and 4 of the amendment. Congress approved the amendment on August 7, 1997, and President Clinton approved it a month later.

[^4]The framers of the constitution and the approving Congress believed that the civil rights of Spanish-speaking New Mexican citizens were important enough that many of those rights should be afforded special protection. This protection took the form of extraordinary requirements for amendments, incorporated in Articles 7, 12 and 19, with regard to voter qualification, protection from religious and racial discrimination on holding office, the requirement that the legislature provide for hiring teachers proficient in both English and Spanish and the protection of the right of children of Spanish descent to be admitted to and attend public schools.

Under the current extraordinary requirements in Article 19, Section 1, no amendment restricting the rights created by Article 7, Sections 1 and 3 and Article 12, Sections 8 and 10 can be proposed except by a three-fourths' vote of the members elected to each house voting separately. Further, any such amendment must be approved by at least three-fourths of the people voting on the amendment statewide.

In addition, any amendment whatsoever to Article 7, Section 1 or 3 or Article 12, Section 10 must be ratified by at least three-fourths of the people voting on the proposed amendment under the provisions of those sections. This broader requirement was largely mirrored in Article 19 until that article was amended in 1996. Until 1968, there was an added requirement that any such amendment must also receive an approving vote of at least two-thirds of those voting in each county of the state.

The term "unamendable" was, and still is, used to describe these sections because of the near impossibility of obtaining the required majorities.

To make certain that Article 19, Section 1, in which the extraordinary vote requirements appear, could not be amended by a piecemeal change, the framers included Section 5 of that article that prohibited any amendment of Section 1 except by constitutional convention. Section 5 was repealed, however, in 1996, and Section 1 was amended to read as it presently does. From 1912 to 1968, the "unamendable sections" remained just that, unamendable, even though from 1919 to 1964 there were 10 attempts to provide absentee voting by amending Article 7. In each case, the proposed amendment received more than a majority of statewide approval but failed to receive the approval of 75 percent of the voters statewide or two-thirds of those in each county, or both. At the special election in 1967, absentee voting was again submitted to the voters as Constitutional Amendment 7 and, while approved by more than 80 percent of the voters statewide, failed because it did not get the required two-thirds' vote in each
county. This time, however, the attorney general, acting on the initiative provided by the New Mexico Municipal League, went to the state supreme court requesting an order to the State Canvassing Board to certify the adoption of the amendment regardless of the two-thirds' requirement. The attorney general argued to the court that the two-thirds' requirement violated the equal protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution. He pointed out that the amendment had received a 32,344 vote majority but was denied adoption because it failed to get a two-thirds' majority in 12 counties. The New Mexico Supreme Court agreed and on February 5, 1968 issued a writ of mandamus requiring the State Canvassing Board to certify the adoption of the amendment. ${ }^{8}$

With that one stroke of the judicial pen, the court cut the Gordian knot that, since statehood, had been an obstacle to giving New Mexico voters the right to adopt absentee voting for themselves. The decision only nullified the two-thirds-in-each-county requirement. It did not affect the requirement for a three-fourths' statewide majority, and while the "unamendable sections" may no longer be impossible to amend, they are still difficult to amend. For example, since the court's decision in 1968, at least a half-dozen proposed amendments to Article 7, Section 1 have received a majority vote, but they fell short of the required 75 percent majority. The section has not been amended since 1968.

## Amendment of Compact Provisions

On June 20, 1910, Congress passed the Enabling Act setting forth the conditions and procedures for the territories of New Mexico and Arizona to hold constitutional conventions. ${ }^{9}$ It also set forth certain requirements with which the proposed constitutions must comply. These mandatory provisions of the Enabling Act were incorporated in the 1910 constitution as Article 21, titled "Compact with the United States".

Section 2 of the Enabling Act and Article 21, Section 10 of the Constitution of New Mexico declared the compact provisions irrevocable without the consent of the United States and the people of New Mexico. Any change in those provisions, in whole or in part, by a constitutional amendment cannot be made without the consent of Congress. Since the adoption of the constitution, portions of the compliance provisions of the compact, particularly those referring to the convention procedures, are moot and no longer operative. Other provisions of a substantive nature, such as the designation of the state capital, have been deemed by the United States Supreme Court to be beyond the authority of Congress to control, while unilateral change

[^5]by the state of other substantive requirements depends on a determination of the jurisdiction of Congress over the subject matter. There still remain, however, other areas of the compact where any change requires the consent of Congress in addition to a constitutional amendment.

Sections 6 through 9 of the Enabling Act, which pertain to specified public lands that were granted to the state to be held in trust for the benefit of designated schools and institutions and which were consented to by Article 21, Section 9, require that any constitutional change in the use of the trust must be consented to by Congress.

Article 19, Section 4 of the constitution sets forth the manner in which such change is to be effected:

> When the United States shall consent thereto, the legislature, by a majority vote of the members in each house, may submit to the people the question of amending any provision of Article XXI of this constitution on compact with the United States to the extent allowed by the act of congress permitting the same, and if a majority of the qualified electors who vote upon any such amendment shall vote in favor thereof the said article shall be thereby amended accordingly.

This procedure indicates that the consent of Congress should be obtained before the amendment is voted on by the people. The vehicle for obtaining the consent is usually a joint resolution. Article 21 has been amended three times with the consent of Congress:
» Section 5 was amended in 1912 to delete provisions requiring all state officers and legislators to be sufficiently fluent in English so as to conduct their duties without an interpreter;
>> Section 11 was added in 1932 to consent to a 1926 act of Congress authorizing the governor and other state officers to execute instruments to effect the exchange of lands with the government of the United States and the method of determining the value of such lands; and
>> Section 1 was amended in 1953 to delete prohibition of the sale, barter or gift of intoxicating liquors to Indians or the introduction of such liquors into Indian country.

## More Than One Subject Prohibited

In the regular piecemeal amendment process, Article 19, Section 1 provides that if two
or more amendments are initiated by the legislature, "they shall be so submitted as to enable the electors to vote on each of them separately". This is the so-called single-subject doctrine.

The single-subject doctrine came under the interpretation of the state supreme court with respect to the adoption of CA 6 in 1988, CA 8 in 1994, CA 1 in 2000 and CA 1 in 2008.

The New Mexico Supreme Court has said that when deciding whether a proposed constitutional amendment complies with the single-subject doctrine "the principal question to be answered is 'whether the legislature reasonably could have determined that a proposed amendment embraces but one object'". (State ex rel. Clark v. State Canvassing Board, 119 N.M. 12, quoting State ex rel. Chavez v. Vigil-Giron, 108 N.M. 45.) The court's "examination of whether an amendment embraces one object is governed by the proposition that 'when distinct changes to the constitution are not dependent on each other, and there is no direct, necessary, or logical connection between the operation of each, they should be submitted separately to the voters'". (Id.)

Adopted November 4, 1988, CA 6, titled "Proposing to Amend Articles 6 and 20 of the Constitution of New Mexico to provide for Judicial Reform", contained issues concerned with the selection, requirements, provisions and number of justices and judges as well as the number and boundaries of judicial districts. Petitioners in Chavez v. Vigil-Giron ${ }^{10}$ argued that the adoption of the amendment was unconstitutional due to the number of proposals contained in it. The petitioners argued that each proposal should have been voted on independently. The court in Chavez held that "although perhaps testing the limits" of the prohibition against logrolling, "the provisions in this amendment are not devoid of a reasonable or rational basis of commonality".

The second interpretation was in respect to the adoption of CA 8 in the 1994 general election. ${ }^{11}$ The question concerned the proposal to authorize a state-operated lottery and wagering on video games of chance. The court held that the question of authorizing a lottery and the question of authorizing wagering on video games of chance should have been submitted separately to the voters "because the rights created, the means of implementation, and the subject matter and purpose of the two prongs of Amendment 8 are not interdependent, and have no direct, necessary, or logical connection in their operation".

In support of its holding, the court noted that the title of the joint resolution proposing the amendment, which described it as permitting "a statewide lottery and certain games of chance", "exacerbated" the problem of logrolling that the constraint in Article 19 was designed

[^6]to prevent. The court said the title did not alert the voter as to the nature or scope of the second prong of the amendment regarding the video gaming. Stated another way, CA 8 "logrolled together two independent objects by piggybacking the passage of one on the popularity of the other".

The court issued a writ of mandamus to the State Canvassing Board not to certify the vote approving CA 8 .

In 2000, the court was asked to prohibit the submission to the voters of CA 1, which proposed separate processes by which Bernalillo County could acquire home-rule power and by which Bernalillo County and the City of Albuquerque could merge into a single government. One of the objections raised was that the proposed amendment violated the single-subject doctrine. The court, without issuing an opinion, denied the petition.

In 2008, voters approved CA 1, which would have increased the size of the Albuquerque Public Schools Board of Education and allowed for voting-by-mail for candidates for that board. The board sued to block the certification of the vote, raising a violation of the single-subject doctrine as its central argument. The state supreme court, without issuing an opinion, ordered the canvassing board not to certify the vote approving the amendment.

## REVISION EFFORTS AND <br> EFFECT ON PIECEMEAL <br> AMENDMENTS

revision of the 1910 constitution was the result of the six-year effort of the 1963-1968 first Constitutional Revision Commission. That effort directly resulted in the 1969 constitutional convention. On November 5, 1968, the question of calling the convention was adopted by the voters by a 44,245 margin. (See Table 6.)

As required by Article 19, the following legislative session enacted Senate Bill 166 (Laws 1969, Chapter 134) providing the enabling legislation for the convention. The law called for the convention to meet at the capitol in Santa Fe at 12:00 noon on August 5, 1969. A nonpartisan election of 70 delegates was scheduled for June 17 of that year.

After convening, the convention sat in continuous session for 60 days with the exception of one two-week recess to allow the style committee to edit and prepare in a uniform style all the articles recommended by the several committees.

The convention adjourned on October 20, 1969 after adopting a proposed new constitution for the state. The document was submitted to the voters as a single vote at a special election on December 9 and was narrowly rejected by a vote of 63,387 to 59,685 .

With respect to the piecemeal amendment process, the rejected constitution would have abolished the extraordinary vote requirement on the unamendable sections. It would have required only a majority vote of all the members of each house on all piecemeal amendments. It also would have required that a summary of what the amendment proposed to do be added to the title indicating the articles and sections to be amended. The single-subject requirement was to be retained.

This revision effort, although unsuccessful at the polls, was not without some rewards. The research by the commission is of considerable value as a resource for future revision studies, as was the case with the 1994-1995 second Constitutional Revision Commission. In addition, the legislature in 1970 and 1971 proposed for successful adoption by the voters several items that were contained in the 1969 proposal. Specifically, those proposals:
(1) increased terms to four years for elected state executive officers;
(2) authorized constitutional home rule for municipalities;
(3) provided residential requirements for members of municipal governing bodies;
(4) by amendment of the bill of rights article, expanded the right to keep and bear arms to include for the purposes of lawful hunting and recreational use and for other lawful purposes;
(5) authorized the legislature to provide by law for different methods to determine the value of different kinds of property for tax purposes, but with a limit of 33.33 percent on the
percentage value against which tax rates are assessed; and
(6) adopted Article 20, Section 21, declaring pollution control to be within the police power of the state.

The second Constitutional Revision Commission was created by the legislature in 1993 and functioned until December 1995. Its members were not appointed until almost one year after the passage of the law. Its report was submitted to the 1996 legislature and consisted of drafted piecemeal amendments for changes in nine articles with special recommendation for future study and consolidation of those articles and sections pertaining to taxation, revenue and indebtedness. The recommended substantive changes were categorized into highest priority, high priority, medium priority and low priority of enactment.

Included in the highest priority of adoption were:
(1) repeal of Article 19, Section 5 to allow amendment of Section 1 of that article without the necessity of a constitutional convention;
(2) amendment of Article 19, Section 1 to provide an additional mechanism for submitting constitutional amendments to the voters that involve more than a piecemeal change and less than a revision of the entire constitution;
(3) amendment of Article 19, Section 1 to eliminate the 75 percent requirement to bring about general change in voter qualifications and educational rights while preserving the important protection of minority rights; and
(4) amendment of Article 19, Section 1 to allow the secretary of state to inform the public about the content and purpose of proposed constitutional amendments by means other than the publication of legal notices in newspapers.

The 1996 legislature proposed to the voters in the general election of that year the first three of these commission amendments with some changes, and those amendments to Article 19 were adopted. Also adopted was the commission recommendation in support of the governor's permanent funds study committee for provisions governing investment of the permanent funds.

Also proposed and adopted in that election was an amendment to Article 4, Section 10 to link legislative per diem and mileage to the Internal Revenue Service regulations for Santa Fe , and the repeal of Article 11 pertaining to the Corporation Commission and its duties and the creation instead of a unified state regulatory commission covering the functions of both the former State Corporation Commission and the New Mexico Public Utility Commission.

## PROPOSALS <br> INTRODUCED IN <br> THE LEGISLATURE

APART FROM PERFORMING a page-by-page search of
senate and house journals from 1912 to 1951, it is difficult to list the number of introduced joint resolutions proposing amendments to the constitution. After the creation of the Legislative Council Service in 1951, however, there has been a successful systematic maintenance of records with respect to the introduction not only of joint resolutions but also of bills and other legislative materials.

During the 57 regular sessions in the period 1951-2014, a total of 1,822 proposals to amend the constitution were introduced by legislators. Of this number, 233, or 12.8 percent, succeeded in passing the legislature, although six were withdrawn and were not submitted to the voters. The following chart shows the breakdown of introductions and adoptions for each of the regular legislative sessions.

The number of introductions has fluctuated from two in 1966 to 61 in 1973. There is little difference between the number of introductions in the senate, with 918 , and in the house, with 904 , for the 57 regular sessions.

JOINT RESOLUTIONS PROPOSING CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS

| Legislature | -----------Number Introduced---------- |  |  | ---Passed by Legislature--- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Senate | House | Total | No. |  | Percent |
| 1951 | 13 | 24 | 37 | 8 |  | 21.6 |
| 1953 | 17 | 21 | 38 | 11 |  | 28.9 |
| 1955 | 18 | 15 | 33 | 6 |  | 18.2 |
| 1957 | 12 | 22 | 34 | 5 |  | 14.7 |
| 1959 | 18 | 14 | 32 | 9 |  | 28.1 |
| 1961 | 19 | 13 | 32 | 13 |  | 40.6 |
| 1963 | 22 | 17 | 39 | 10 |  | 25.6 |
| 1965 | 21 | 33 | 54 | 10 | * | 18.5 |
| 1966 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 0.0 |
| 1967 | 18 | 21 | 39 | 8 |  | 20.5 |
| 1968 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  | 0.0 |
| 1969 | 5 | 16 | 21 | 4 | * | 19.0 |
| 1970 | 11 | 23 | 34 | 8 |  | 23.5 |
| 1971 | 27 | 18 | 45 | 10 |  | 22.2 |
| 1972 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 3 |  | 23.1 |
| 1973 | 24 | 37 | 61 | 7 |  | 11.5 |


| Legislature | -----------Number Introduced---------- |  |  | ---Passed by Legislature--- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Senate | House | Total | No. |  | Percent |
| 1974 | 10 | 15 | 25 | 3 |  | 12.0 |
| 1975 | 29 | 23 | 52 | 6 |  | 11.5 |
| 1976 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 1 |  | 7.7 |
| 1977 | 20 | 14 | 34 | 2 |  | 5.9 |
| 1978 | 17 | 17 | 34 | 2 |  | 5.9 |
| 1979 | 20 | 12 | 32 | 5 |  | 15.6 |
| 1980 | 17 | 14 | 31 | 1 |  | 3.2 |
| 1981 | 15 | 17 | 32 | 3 |  | 9.4 |
| 1982 | 14 | 14 | 28 | 4 |  | 14.3 |
| 1983 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 0 |  | 0.0 |
| 1984 | 16 | 11 | 27 | 1 |  | 3.7 |
| 1985 | 11 | 18 | 29 | 4 |  | 13.8 |
| 1986 | 15 | 17 | 32 | 7 |  | 21.9 |
| 1987 | 12 | 16 | 28 | 0 |  | 0.0 |
| 1988 | 11 | 14 | 25 | 7 |  | 28.0 |
| 1989 | 20 | 10 | 30 | 1 |  | 3.3 |
| 1990 | 18 | 21 | 39 | 4 |  | 10.3 |
| 1991 | 20 | 12 | 32 | 1 |  | 3.1 |
| 1992 | 20 | 13 | 33 | 3 |  | 9.1 |
| 1993 | 19 | 16 | 35 | 9 | * | 25.7 |
| 1994 | 11 | 11 | 22 | 5 |  | 22.7 |
| 1995 | 21 | 9 | 30 | 0 |  | 0.0 |
| 1996 | 22 | 15 | 37 | 7 |  | 18.9 |
| 1997 | 16 | 10 | 26 | 3 |  | 11.5 |
| 1998 | 12 | 17 | 29 | 2 |  | 6.9 |
| 1999 | 25 | 20 | 45 | 2 |  | 4.4 |
| 2000 | 21 | 17 | 38 | 0 |  | 0.0 |
| 2001 | 30 | 26 | 56 | 9 |  | 16.1 |
| 2002 | 20 | 21 | 41 | 0 |  | 0.0 |
| 2003 | 23 | 28 | 51 | 4 |  | 7.8 |
| 2004 | 12 | 14 | 26 | 1 |  | 3.8 |
| 2005 | 18 | 16 | 34 | 2 |  | 5.9 |


|  | -------- Number Introduced---------- |  | ---Passed by Legislature--- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Legislature | Senate | House | Total | No. | Percent |
| 2006 | 9 | 13 | 22 | 2 | 9.1 |
| 2007 | 16 | 14 | 30 | 2 | 6.7 |
| 2008 | 15 | 10 | 25 | 3 | 12.0 |
| 2009 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 1 | 3.3 |
| 2010 | 14 | 13 | 27 | 4 | 14.8 |
| 2011 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 1 | 2.9 |
| 2012 | 15 | 23 | 38 | 4 | 10.5 |
| 2013 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 2 | 8.3 |
| 2014 | 23 | 13 | 36 | 3 | 8.3 |
| TOTALS | 918 | 904 | 1,822 | 233 | 12.8 |

*Proposed amendments include withdrawal of 1965, 1969 and 1993 amendments from the ballot.

## PROPOSALS <br> SUBMITTED FOR <br> RATIFICATION

From 1912 Through 2014, the voters of this state were called on to approve or reject 305 piecemeal amendments to the constitution. This does not include amendments that were withdrawn prior to the election. The Forty-First Legislature (1993-1994) and the Twenty-Fifth Legislature (1961), with 13 proposed constitutional amendments each, share the record for submitting the largest number of constitutional amendments to the voters. (The Forty-First Legislature submitted 14 amendments, but one was withdrawn prior to the election by the 1994 session.) The next largest number of amendments was submitted by the Fifth Legislature (1921), the Twenty-First Legislature (1953) and the Thirty-Seventh Legislature (1985-1986) with 11 proposed amendments each.

Viewed in 10-year intervals, the number of proposed amendments submitted for ratification looks this way:


It is interesting to note that more proposals were submitted during the 1961-1970 period, the decade of the first major constitutional revision effort, than during any of the preceding or subsequent decades.
from 1912 through 2014, 170, or 55.7 percent, were adopted. (See Table 2.)

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF PROPOSALS ADOPTED
1912-2010

Years
1912-1920
1921-1930
1931-1940

1941-1950
1951-1960
1961-1970

1971-1980
1981-1990
1991-2000

2001-2010

Number
$\left.\begin{array}{l}5 \\ 7 \\ 7\end{array}\right\} \quad 19$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}12 \\ 20 \\ 26\end{array}\right\} \quad 58$


19

Percent

$\left.\begin{array}{l}48.0 \\ 51.3 \\ 54.2\end{array}\right\} 51.8$
$\left.\begin{array}{l}60.0 \\ 71.0 \\ 61.3\end{array}\right\} 63.7$
67.9

## ARTICLES

TABLE 3 SHOWS AMENDMENTS to the constitution since 1911 by
article. The greatest number of changes (24) have been made in Article 8, pertaining to taxation and revenue. Article 4, legislature, has been amended 22 times; Article 12, education, has been amended 21 times.

Articles untouched by piecemeal amendment are:
Article 1 - name of the state and its boundaries;
Article 15 - Department of Agriculture;
Article 18 - militia (National Guard of New Mexico); and
Article 22 - schedule for transition from territory to state.
been an obstacle to resubmission of the amendment by succeeding legislatures or adoption by the voters.

For example, the question of reimbursement for legislators has gone to the voters 20 times. The framers fixed the allowable per diem and mileage into the constitution. It was not until 1944 that legislative per diem was increased from $\$ 5.00$ to $\$ 10.00$ before being increased in 1953 to $\$ 20.00$. It took another 18 years (1971) to increase it to $\$ 40.00$ and another 11 years (1982) before the voters raised the allowance to $\$ 75.00$. It remained at that rate for another 14 years before the voters allowed it to be fixed at the per diem rate allowable for Santa Fe in the Internal Revenue Service rules. The proposal for an absentee ballot was submitted by both the 1937 and 1939 legislatures. Between 1949 and 1957, it was referred by five consecutive legislatures. From 1948 through 1966, voters considered the question of absentee voting seven times.

The annual session proposal was first introduced in the legislature in 1953 and thereafter in the legislatures of $1955,1957,1959,1961$ and 1963. It was submitted to the voters in 1953, 1960 and 1961 before it was finally adopted in 1964.

There is no ready explanation of why voters, after rejecting a proposal several times, reverse themselves and adopt it, sometimes with an overwhelming majority. In many instances, there was no major organized opposition or support for the measure. It has been suggested that frequent submission might have a gradual educational value. Then again, it might just be a matter of the mood of the electorate at any particular election. Other factors might be the composition of the ballot, such as the presence of a gubernatorial or presidential race, or the length of the ballot, particularly with respect to the number of constitutional amendments and bond issue questions appearing on it.
less interested in constitutional amendments than they are in the selection of public officers. One explanation for that might be the difficulty of understanding some of the complicated proposals placed on the ballot with only a brief ballot title to act as a guide. The full amendments are printed in the legal notice section of newspapers, but few voters are familiar with this portion of their newspaper or they do not read it. The Legislative Council Service publishes a summary of constitutional amendments, which is distributed publicly through the internet and through the secretary of state and the League of Women Voters.

One customary measurement of voter interest is the comparison of the total vote cast on a proposed amendment with the total vote cast for governor in the same election. Table 4 shows voter participation on constitutional amendments in general elections from 1911 through 2014. The extreme level of disinterest was in 1946 when only 16.3 percent of those voting for governor expressed a preference on the question of eliminating the split-session legislature. The highest level of voter interest since adoption of the blue ballot in 1911 was in 1994, when more than 93 percent of those casting a vote for governor also cast a vote on the question of a state lottery and other games of chance.

## SPECIAL VS. <br> GENERAL <br> ELECTIONS

The question frequently arises as to whether a proposed amendment fares better at a special election, where there is not the distraction of a ballot of candidates, or at a general election, where there usually is a greater turnout of voters. Historically, New Mexico voters were kinder to constitutional amendments at general elections than at special elections. Excluding the "blue ballot" amendment, a total of 187 amendments have been proposed at general elections compared with 118 at special elections.

Of the 187 amendments submitted at general elections, 116, or 62 percent, were adopted; of the 118 submitted at special elections, 54 , or 45.8 percent, were adopted. Fifteen times the voters have adopted all the amendments on a general election ballot. Only once has this been true of the amendments on a special election ballot.

In 2003, the first special election for constitutional amendments in 30 years took place. Prior to the 2003 special election, the legislature had been reluctant to submit proposed amendments other than at general elections. One possible reason for the past reluctance is the high cost of statewide special elections. The legislature appropriated $\$ 900,000$ for the 2003 special election. For the first time in New Mexico history, voters adopted all the amendments on the special election ballot; however, Constitutional Amendment 2, regarding distribution of the land grant permanent funds, was approved by a very slim margin. Some feel the submission of proposed amendments at a special election allows for more promotion and concentrates more voter scrutiny and understanding of what is being proposed.

Ranked from highest to lowest percent of proposed amendments approved by New Mexico voters, a comparison of general and special elections yields the results seen on the following page.

## PERCENT OF PROPOSED AMENDMENTS APPROVED <br> 1912-2014

|  | General Elections |  |  | Special Elections |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | \#Submitted | \#Approved | Percent | Year | \#Submitted | \#Approved | Percent |
| 1912 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 2003 | 2 | 2 | 100.0 |
| 1914 | 3 | 3 | 100.0 | 1967 | 8 | 7 | 87.5 |
| 1928 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 1933 | 4 | 3 | 75.0 |
| 1932 | 2 | 2 | 100.0 | 1973 | 7 | 5 | 71.4 |
| 1938 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 1971 | 10 | 7 | 70.0 |
| 1944 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 1955 | 6 | 4 | 66.7 |
| 1946 | 2 | 2 | 100.0 | 1953 | 11 | 7 | 63.6 |
| 1962 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 1949 | 10 | 6 5 | 60.0 45.5 |
| 1966 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 1965 | 8 | 3 | 37.5 |
| 1984 | 1 | 1 | 100.0 | 1917 | 3 | 1 | 33.3 |
| 1996 | 7 | 7 | 100.0 | 1961 | 12 | 4 | 33.3 |
| 1998 | 5 | 5 | 100.0 | 1919 | 3 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2004 | 3 | 3 | 100.0 | 1927 | 4 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2006 | 4 | 4 | 100.0 | 1935 | 5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 2012 | 5 | 5 | 100.0 | 1937 | 5 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1986 | 11 | 10 | 90.9 | 1939 | 1 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1988 | 7 | 6 | 85.7 | 1951 | 8 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1964 | 10 | 8 | 80.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 5 | 4 | 80.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1960 | 9 | 6 | 66.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 3 | 2 | 66.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 3 | 2 | 66.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1980 | 6 | 4 | 66.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 2002 | 9 | 6 | 66.7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1958 | 5 | 3 | 60.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1982 | 7 | 4 | 57.1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1940 | 2 | 1 | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1948 | 6 | 3 | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1978 | 4 | 2 | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1992 | 4 | 2 | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 2 | 1 | 50.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 | 5 | 2 | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 5 | 2 | 40.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1994 | 13 | 4* | 38.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 1924 | 3 | 1 | 33.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 7 | 2 | 28.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 8 | 2 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 5 | 1 | 20.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1926 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1930 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 1942 | 6 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |

*Does not include CA 8, which was approved by the voters but was ordered not certified by the staff supreme court.

New Mexico was the forty-seventh state to enter the union and consequently has had a relatively short history with respect to the amendment process, one that began in 1911, almost two months before official statehood. Since statehood, the voters have considered 305 proposed piecemeal amendments and one entire revision of the 1910 constitution. They have altered that document 170 times, all by the piecemeal amendment process. The legislature has been willing to propose amendments to the people, and voters have been willing to look favorably upon them. At the same time, proposals for a new constitutional convention have been looked upon by the legislature with a general lack of enthusiasm that is matched by a demonstrable lack of concern by the voter. Conventions are costly, uncertain creatures. The 1996 change, authorizing a constitutional commission to recommend wholesale revision by a single amendment, offers an alternative. For the foreseeable future, however, constitutional change will remain the province of piecemeal amendment.

TABLES



[^7]

[^8]

[^9]

[^10]

[^11]| LEGISLATURE | AMENDM NUMBE | ARTICLE AND SECTION(S) | SUBJECT | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | CA 1 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \S 1 \\ 10 \S 2 \end{gathered}$ | Terms of executive state officers, four years Terms of county officers, four years | - |
|  | CA 2 | $5 \S 14$ | State Highway Commission, resubmission of appointments to state senate | Sept. 19, 1961* |
|  | CA 3 | 17 §1 | State mine inspector, legislature to prescribe qualifications | Sept. 19, 1961* |
|  | CA 4 | 7 §1 | Absentee voting | - |
|  | CA 5 | 12 §4 | Current School Fund, fines and forfeitures, legislature to prescribe administrative costs to be deducted | - |
|  | CA 6 | $4 \S 10$ | Legislators' compensation to be determined by law | - |
|  | CA 7 | 11 §§1 \& 2 | State Corporation Commission | - |
|  | CA 8 | 4 §5 | Annual legislative sessions | - |
|  | CA 9 | 7 §2 | Legislature to establish qualifications of public officers | Sept. 19, 1961* |
|  | CA 10 | $5 \S \S 1 \& 12$ | Delete state auditor and provisions relating to salaries of officers | - |
|  | CA 11 | 6 §26 | Legislature prescribes qualifications of justices of the peace, police magistrates and constables | Sept. 19, 1961* |
|  | CA 12 | $4 \S 28$ | Legislators serve on State Board of Finance | - |
|  | CA 13 | $\begin{gathered} 5 \S \S 1 \& 2 \\ 7 \S 5 \end{gathered}$ | Election of governor and lieutenant governor on joint ticket | Nov. 6, 1962 |
| 1963 | CA 1 | 13 §3 | Validating land titles prior to Sept. 4, 1956 | Nov. 3, 1964 |
|  | CA 2 | $4 \S 5$ | Annual legislative sessions | Nov. 3, 1964 |
|  | CA 3 | 12 §11 | Western New Mexico University, name change | Nov. 3, 1964 |
|  | CA 4 | 10 §5 | H-class county charter | Nov. 3, 1964 |
|  | CA 5 | 7 §1 | Absentee voting and removal of voting restriction for women and Indians | - |
|  | CA 6 | $9 \S 10$ | School bond issues, remodeling and additions | Nov. 3, 1964 |
|  | CA 7 | $9 \S 12$ | Municipal bonds, special election, nonresident vote | Nov. 3, 1964 |
|  | CA 8 | $4 \S 18$ | Permitting tax legislation by reference | Nov. 3, 1964 |
|  | CA 9 | $5 \S 14$ | Director, State Highway Department | - |
|  | CA 10 | 11 §§5, 7 \& 8 | Corporation Commission, salaries, powers and duties | Nov. 3, 1964 |

[^12]

[^13]

[^14]

[^15]| LEGISLATURE | AMENDMENT NUMBER | ARTICLE AND SECTION(S) | SUBJECT | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1982 | CA 4 <br> CA 5 <br> CA 6 <br> CA 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \S 2 \\ & 4 \S 10 \\ & 11 \S 7 \\ & 9 \S 10 \end{aligned}$ | County sheriffs, unlimited two-year terms <br> Legislative per diem and mileage increase <br> Yellow pages amendment <br> County indebtedness for water and sewer systems, sanitary landfills and airports | Nov. 2, 1982 <br> Nov. 2, 1982 <br> Nov. 2, 1982 |
| 1983 | none enacted |  |  |  |
| 1984 | CA 1 | 10 §8 | State regulation-mandated county or municipal services | Nov. 6, 1984 |
| 1985 | CA 1 <br> CA 2 <br> CA 3 <br> CA 4 | $\begin{gathered} 12 \S 14 \\ 2 \S 6 \\ 5 \S 13 \\ 8 \S 4 \end{gathered}$ | Local school boards, recall <br> Right to keep and bear arms <br> Governing bodies, single-member districts <br> Public money deposits | Nov. 4, 1986 <br> Nov. 4, 1986 <br> Nov. 4, 1986 <br> Nov. 4, 1986 |
| 1986 | CA 5 <br> CA 6 <br> CA 7 <br> CA 8 <br> CA 9 <br> CA 10 <br> CA 11 | $12 \S 4$ $4 \S 42$ (new) $12 \S 6$ $12 \S 13$ $10 \S 2$ $3 \S 1$ $5 \S 1$ | Disposition of forfeitures <br> Interim hearings by senate on confirmations <br> State Board of Education, expand and enhance control <br> UNM Board of Regents, increase <br> County officers, four consecutive terms <br> Workers' compensation body <br> State executive officers, two consecutive four-year terms | Nov. 4, 1986 <br> Nov. 4, 1986 <br> Nov. 4, 1986 <br> Nov. 4, 1986 <br> Nov. 4, 1986 <br> Nov. 4, 1986 |
| 1987 | none enacted |  |  |  |
| 1988 | CA 1 <br> CA 2 <br> CA 3 <br> CA 4 <br> CA 5 <br> CA 6 <br> CA 7 | $4 \S 10$ $5 \S 5$ $8 \S 5$ $9 \S 10$ $2 \S 13$ 6 §§4, 8, 12, 14, 16, 19, 26, 28,33 (new), 34 (new), 35 (new), 36 (new), 37 (new), 38 (new); 20 §4 $10 ~ \S 7$ | Legislative retirement <br> Gubernatorial removal of appointees <br> Head-of-family exemption <br> County bond issues <br> Bail for convicted persons <br> Judicial reform, merit selection <br> Boards of county commissioners, five members, staggered terms, four years | Nov. 8, 1988 <br> Nov. 8, 1988 <br> Nov. 8, 1988 <br> Nov. 8, 1988 <br> Nov. 8, 1988 <br> Nov. 8, 1988 |
| 1989 | CA 1 | 12 §7 | Permanent school funds management | Nov. 6, 1990 |

[^16]| LEGISLATURE | AMENDMENT NUMBER | ARTICLE AND SECTION(S) | SUBJECT | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | CA 2 <br> CA 3 <br> CA 4 <br> CA 5 | $\begin{gathered} 12 \S 7 \\ 4 \S 10 \\ 9 \S 17 \text { (new) } \\ 21 \S 12 \text { (new) } \end{gathered}$ | Permanent School Fund investment Legislative per diem and salary State financial obligations Land exchange authority |  |
| 1991 | CA 1 | 9 §10 | County indebtedness restrictions | - |
| 1992 | CA 2 <br> CA 3 <br> CA 4 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \S 24 \\ 10 \S \S 2 \& 7 \\ 4 \S 10 \end{gathered}$ | Crime victims' rights <br> Terms for elected county officials <br> Legislative compensation commission | Nov. 3, 1992 <br> Nov. 3, 1992 |
| 1993 | CA 1 <br> CA 2 <br> CA 3 <br> CA 4 <br> CA 5 <br> CA 6 <br> CA 7 <br> CA 8 <br> CA 9 | 12 (repeal §14) <br> 2 § 14 <br> 12 §13 <br> 5 §14 <br> 4 § 10 <br> 9 § 10 <br> 14 §1 <br> 20 §22 <br> 9 §14 | Local school board member recall <br> Grand jury signatures <br> Board of regents, student member <br> State Highway Commission name change <br> Legislative per diem <br> Authorize certain county debt <br> New Mexico state hospital name change <br> Lottery and certain games of chance <br> Public support of economic development | Nov. 8, 1994 <br> Nov. 8, 1994 <br> withdrawn <br> *** <br> Nov. 8, 1994 |
| 1994 | CA 10 <br> CA 11 <br> CA 12 <br> CA 13 <br> CA 14 | $\begin{gathered} 6 \S \S 33 \& 34 \\ 7 \S 1 \\ 8 \S 10 \\ 12 \S 2 \\ \\ 4 \S 10 \end{gathered}$ | Judicial retention elections <br> Voter qualifications <br> Severance Tax Permanent Fund distribution <br> Land grant permanent funds distribution and investment <br> Legislative per diem | Nov. 8, 1994 |
| 1995 | none enacted |  |  |  |
| 1996 | CA 1 <br> CA 2 <br> CA 3 <br> CA 4 <br> CA 5 <br> CA 6 <br> CA 7 | $\begin{gathered} 8 \S 10 ; 12 \S \S 2,4 \& 7 \\ 9 \S 11 \\ 10 \text { (new) } \\ 19 \S \S 1 \& 2 \text { (repeal } \S 5 \text { ) } \\ 4 \S 10 \\ 11 \text { (repeal } \S \S 1-12 ; 15-17 \text { ) } \\ 9 \text { §10 } \end{gathered}$ | State permanent funds <br> School district debt <br> Recall county officers <br> Constitutional amendment process <br> Legislative per diem and mileage <br> Create Public Regulation Commission; repeal <br> State Corporation Commission <br> County-bonded indebtedness for certain projects | Nov. 5, 1996 <br> Nov. 5, 1996 <br> Nov. 5, 1996 <br> Nov. 5, 1996 <br> Nov. 5, 1996 <br> Nov. 5, 1996 <br> Nov. 5, 1996 |

*Special election
**Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
***Not certified by order of state supreme court
+CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

| LEGISLATURE | AMENDMENT NUMBER | ARTICLE AND SECTION(S) | SUBJECT | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | CA 1 <br> CA 2 <br> CA 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \S 1 \\ & 6 \S 32 \\ & 10 \S 2 \end{aligned}$ | Residential property valuation for property tax purposes <br> Judicial Standards Commission membership <br> Limits on holding county office | Nov. 3, 1998 <br> Nov. 3, 1998 <br> Nov. 3, 1998 |
| 1998 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CA } 4 \\ & \text { CA } 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \text { (new §22) } \\ & 8 \text { (new §15) } \end{aligned}$ | Public employees retirement system and educational retirement system trust funds <br> Property tax exemption for disabled veterans | Nov. 3, 1998 <br> Nov. 3, 1998 |
| 1999 | $\text { CA } 1$ $\text { CA } 2$ | $10 \text { (new } \S \S 10 \& 11 \text { ) }$ $10 \S 2$ | Creation of Bernalillo urban county and creation of united Bernalillo County-Albuquerque urban government <br> Eliminate term limits for county elected officials | Nov. 7, 2000 |
| 2000 | none enacted |  |  |  |
| 2001 | CA 1 <br> CA 2 <br> CA 3 <br> CA 4 <br> CA 5 <br> CA 6 <br> CA 7 <br> CA 8 <br> CA 9 | $8 \S 5$ $7 \S 1$ 6 (repeal §25) 2 (repeal §22) $8 \S 15$ $9 \S 14$ $20($ new $\S 23)$ $9 \S 4$ $5 \S 14$ | Veterans' property tax exemption <br> Voter qualifications <br> Judicial districts <br> Non-U.S. citizen ownership of property <br> Disabled veteran property tax exemption <br> Donation by state, county or municipality of land, buildings or costs of infrastructure for affordable housing <br> Cesar Chavez holiday <br> Vietnam veterans' scholarship eligibility <br> Change State Highway Commission to State Transportation Commission | Nov. 5, 2002 <br> Nov. 5, 2002 <br> Nov. 5, 2002 <br> Nov. 5, 2002 <br> Nov. 5, 2002 <br> Nov. 5, 2002 |
| 2002 | none enacted |  |  |  |
| 2003 | CA 1 <br> CA 2 <br> CA 3 <br> CA 4 | $\begin{gathered} 12 \S 6 \\ 12 \S 7 \\ 7 \S 5 \\ 8 \S 5 \end{gathered}$ | Cabinet-level Public Education Department Land grant permanent funds distributions Runoff elections for municipalities Veterans' property tax exemption | Sept. 23, 2003* <br> Sept. 23, 2003* <br> Nov. 2, 2004 <br> Nov. 2, 2004 |
| 2004 | CA 5 | 12 §11 | Change New Mexico School for the Visually Handicapped to New Mexico School for the Blind and Visually Impaired | Nov. 2, 2004 |

[^17]| LEGISLATURE | AMENDMENT NUMBER | ARTICLE AND SECTION(S) | SUBJECT | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | $\text { CA } 1$ $\text { CA } 2$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \S 22 \\ 9 \S 8 \end{gathered}$ | Protection of right to own property <br> Building lease agreements for state | Nov. 7, 2006 <br> Nov. 7, 2006 |
| 2006 | $\text { CA } 3$ $\text { CA } 4$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ 9 \S 14 \end{gathered}$ | Water Trust Fund <br> Local government affordable housing | Nov. 7, 2006 <br> Nov. 7, 2006 |
| 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CA } 2+ \\ & \text { CA } 1+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \S 15 \\ 10 \S 1 \end{gathered}$ | Increase certain school board sizes County officers midterm salary increases |  |
| 2008 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CA } 3 \\ & \text { CA } 4 \\ & \text { CA } 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \text { §15 (new) } \\ 7 \S 1 \\ 5 \S 16 \text { (new) } \end{gathered}$ | Cabinet secretary confirmations <br> School elections with other elections <br> Lieutenant governor vacancy appointment | Nov. 4, 2008 <br> Nov. 4, 2008 |
| 2009 | CA 1 | 9 §14 | War veteran college scholarship | Nov. 2, 2010 |
| 2010 | CA 2 <br> CA 3 <br> CA 4 <br> CA 5 | 10 §2 <br> 7 § 1 <br> 8 §16 <br> 4 §28 | Extend county official term limits <br> Modernize election language <br> Veterans' organization property tax <br> Civil offices for former legislators | Nov. 2, 2010 |
| 2011 | CA 1 | $6 \S 32$ | Judicial Standards Commission membership | Nov. 6, 2012 |
| 2012 | CA 2 <br> CA 3 <br> CA 4 <br> CA 5 | $\begin{gathered} 11 \S 1 \\ 11 \S 2 \\ 11 \S 19 \text { (new) } \\ 11 \S 2 \\ 11 \S 20 \text { (new) } \\ 6 \S 39 \text { (new) } \end{gathered}$ | Public Regulation Commission member qualifications <br> Authority to charter corporations to secretary of state <br> Chartering corporations <br> Independent Department of Insurance Creating office of superintendent of insurance <br> Independent Public Defender Department | Nov. 6, 2012 <br> Nov. 6, 2012 <br> Nov. 6, 2012 <br> Nov. 6, 2012 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2013 \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CA } 1 \\ & \text { CA } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \S 1 \\ 12 \S 13 \end{gathered}$ | School elections with other elections <br> Board of regents, student member | Nov. 4, 2014 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2014 \\ & 2014 \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CA } 3 \\ & \text { CA } 4 \\ & \text { CA } 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \S 34 \\ 10 \S 10 \\ 12 \S 7 \end{gathered}$ | Judicial retention candidate filing date <br> Expand urban county eligibility <br> Land grant permanent funds investment | Nov. 4, 2014 <br> Nov. 4, 2014 <br> Nov. 4, 2014 |

## *Special election

**Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
***Not certified by order of state supreme court
+CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

## Disposition of Proposed Amendments to the Constitution of New Mexico (1911-2014)

| ELECTION | GENERAL | SPECIAL | REJECTED | ADOPTED | PERCENT ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nov. 7, 1911* | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Nov. 5, 1912 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Nov. 3, 1914 | 3 |  | 0 | 3 | 100.00 |
| Nov. 6, 1917 |  | 3 | 2 | 1 | 33.33 |
| Sept. 16, 1919 |  | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Sept. 20, 1921 |  | 11 | 6 | 5 | 45.45 |
| Nov. 4, 1924 | 3 |  | 2 | 1 | 33.33 |
| Nov. 2, 1926 | 2 |  | 2 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Nov. 8, 1927 |  | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Nov. 6, 1928 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Nov. 4, 1930 | 2 |  | 2 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Nov. 8, 1932 | 2 |  | 0 | 2 | 100.00 |
| Sept. 19, 1933 |  | 4 | 1 | 3 | 75.00 |
| Sept. 17, 1935 |  | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Sept. 21, 1937 |  | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Nov. 8, 1938 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Sept. 16, 1939 |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Nov. 5, 1940 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 50.00 |
| Nov. 3, 1942 | 6 |  | 6 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Nov. 7, 1944 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Nov. 5, 1946 | 2 |  | 0 | 2 | 100.00 |
| Nov. 2, 1948 | 6 |  | 3 | 3 | 50.00 |
| Sept. 20, 1949 |  | 10 | 4 | 6 | 60.00 |
| Sept. 18, 1951 |  | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Sept. 15, 1953 |  | 11 | 4 | 7 | 63.64 |
| Sept. 20, 1955 |  | 6 | 2 | 4 | 66.67 |
| Nov. 4, 1958 | 5 |  | 2 | 3 | 60.00 |
| Nov. 8, 1960 | 9 |  | 3 | 6 | 66.67 |
| Sept. 19, 1961 |  | 12 | 8 | 4 | 33.33 |
| Nov. 6, 1962 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 100.00 |

[^18]**Does not include CA 8, which was approved by voters but ordered not certified by the state Supreme Court.

| ELECTION | GENERAL | SPECIAL | REJECTED | ADOPTED | PERCENT ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nov. 3, 1964 | 10 |  | 2 | 8 | 80.00 |
| Sept. 28, 1965 |  | 8 | 5 | 3 | 37.50 |
| Nov. 8, 1966 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Nov. 7, 1967 |  | 8 | 1 | 7 | 87.50 |
| Nov. 3, 1970 | 8 |  | 6 | 2 | 25.00 |
| Nov. 2, 1971 |  | 10 | 3 | 7 | 70.00 |
| Nov. 7, 1972 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 66.67 |
| Nov. 6, 1973 |  | 7 | 2 | 5 | 71.43 |
| Nov. 5, 1974 | 3 |  | 1 | 2 | 66.67 |
| Nov. 2, 1976 | 7 |  | 5 | 2 | 28.57 |
| Nov. 7, 1978 | 4 |  | 2 | 2 | 50.00 |
| Nov. 4, 1980 | 6 |  | 2 | 4 | 66.67 |
| Nov. 2, 1982 | 7 |  | 3 | 4 | 57.14 |
| Nov. 6, 1984 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 100.00 |
| Nov. 4, 1986 | 11 |  | 1 | 10 | 90.91 |
| Nov. 8, 1988 | 7 |  | 1 | 6 | 85.71 |
| Nov. 6, 1990 | 5 |  | 4 | 1 | 20.00 |
| Nov. 3, 1992 | 4 |  | 2 | 2 | 50.00 |
| Nov. 8, 1994 | 13 |  | 8 | 4 | 30.80 |
| Nov. 5, 1996 | 7 |  | 0 | 7 | 100.00 |
| Nov. 3, 1998 | 5 |  | 0 | 5 | 100.00 |
| Nov. 7, 2000 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 | 50.00 |
| Nov. 5, 2002 | 9 |  | 3 | 6 | 66.67 |
| Sept. 23, 2003 |  | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100.00 |
| Nov. 2, 2004 | 3 |  | 0 | 3 | $100.00 \backslash$ |
| Nov. 7, 2006 | 4 |  | 0 | 4 | 100.00 |
| Nov. 4, 2008 | 5 |  | 3 | 2 | 40.00 |
| Nov. 2, 2010 | 5 |  | 3 | 2 | 40.00 |
| Nov. 6, 2012 | 5 |  | 0 | 5 | 100.00 |
| Nov. 4, 2014 | 5 |  | 1 | 4 | 80.0 |
| TOTAL | 188 | 118 | 134 | 171** | 55.9 |
| TOTAL LESS BLUE BALLOT | 187 | 118 | 134 | 170** | 55.7 |

[^19]| ARTICLE | GENERAL SUBJECT | YEAR AMENDED (No. Times) | TOTAL NO. OF TIMES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Name and Boundaries |  | 0 |
| 2 | Bill of Rights | $\begin{aligned} & 1921 ; 1924 ; 1971 ; 1972 ; 1980(2) ; 1986 ; 1988 ; 1992 ; 1994 \text {; } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | 11 |
| 3 | Distribution of Powers | 1986 | 1 |
| 4 | Legislative Department | $\begin{aligned} & 1932 ; 1940 ; 1944 ; 1946 ; 1948(2) ; 1949 ; 1953(3) ; 1955 ; 1958 ; \\ & 1960(3) ; 1964(2) ; 1971 ; 1976 ; 1982 ; 1986 ; 1996 \end{aligned}$ | 22 |
| 5 | Executive Department | $\begin{aligned} & 1914 ; 1948 ; 1949 ; 1955 ; 1958 ; 1960 ; 1961 ; 1962 ; 1967 ; 1970 ; \\ & 1986(2) ; 1988 ; 2002,2008(2) \end{aligned}$ | 16 |
| 6 | Judicial Department | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1938; 1949; 1953(2); 1961; 1965; 1966; 1967; 1978(2); 1988; } \\ & \text { 1994; 1998; 2002; 2006; } 2012 \text { (2); } 2014 \end{aligned}$ | 18 |
| 7 | Elective Franchise | 1921; 1961; 1962; 1967; 1973; 2004 | 6 |
| 8 | Taxation and Revenue | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1914; 1921; 1933; 1946; 1949; 1953; 1967(2); 1971; 1972; } \\ & \text { 1973(2); 1974; 1976; 1982; 1986; 1988; 1996; 1998(2); } \\ & \text { 2002(2); 2004; } 2010 \end{aligned}$ | 24 |
| 9 | State, County and Municipal Indebtedness | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1921(2); 1933; 1964(2); 1965; 1971; 1974; 1982; 1988; 1994; } \\ & \text { 1996(2); 2002(2); 2006(2); } 2010 \end{aligned}$ | 18 |
| 10 | County and Municipal Government | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1914; 1949; 1964; 1970; 1973; 1980; 1984; 1988; 1992; 1996; } \\ & \text { 1998; 2000; } 2014 \end{aligned}$ | 13 |
| 11 | Private Corporations and Utilities | 1964; 1982; 1996; 2012(3) | 6 |
| 12 | Education | $\begin{aligned} & 1949 ; 1958(2) ; 1960 ; 1964 ; 1965 ; 1971 ; 1973 ; 1980 ; 1986(4) ; \\ & 1990 ; 1994 ; 1996 ; 2003(2) ; 2004 ; 2014(2) \end{aligned}$ | 21 |
| 13 | Public Lands | 1964 | 1 |
| 14 | Public Institutions | 1955(2); 1960 | 3 |
| 15 | Department of Agriculture |  | 0 |
| 16 | Irrigation and Water Rights | 1967; 2006 | 2 |
| 17 | Mines and Mining | 1961 | 1 |
| 18 | Militia |  | 0 |
| 19 | Amendment Procedures | 1911; 1996 | 2 |
| 20 | Miscellaneous | 1971(2); 1988; 1998 | 4 |
| 21 | Compact with United States | 1912; 1932; 1953 | 3 |
| 22 | Schedule of Transition to Statehood |  | 0 |
| 23 | Intoxicating Liquors | 1917; 1933 | 2 |
| 24 | Leases on State Lands | 1928; 1967 | 2 |


| YEAR | SUBJECT | VOTE ON PROPOSED CA |  | PERCENT OF VOTES CAST FOR GOVERNOR <br> ALSO CAST FOR PROPOSED CA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FOR | AGAINST |  |
| 1911 | Amending procedure | 34,897 | 22,831 | 94.9 |
| 1912 | Qualification for holding office | 26,663 | 13,678 | * |
| 1914 | Two-year terms for county officers | 20,295 | 12,125 | * |
| 1914 | Property tax | 18,468 | 13,593 | * |
| 1914 | Two-year terms for executive officers | 18,472 | 12,257 | * |
| 1924 | Four-year terms for county officers | 20,685 | 28,363 | 42.6 |
| 1924 | Four-year terms for executive officers | 21,367 | 26,972 | 42.0 |
| 1924 | Indictment and information | 28,420 | 21,166 | 43.1 |
| 1926 | Increased compensation for legislators | 20,338 | 21,278 | 39.0 |
| 1926 | Apportionment of state land money | 18,788 | 23,560 | 39.7 |
| 1928 | Development of minerals on state lands | 40,650 | 9,774 | 42.5 |
| 1930 | Consent to exchange of state lands | 23,883 | 34,467 | 49.5 |
| 1930 | Five-member State Board of Education | 17,582 | 40,802 | 49.6 |
| 1932 | Consent to exchange of state lands | 36,575 | 16,349 | 35.0 |
| 1932 | Forty-five day limitation on introduction of bills | 34,028 | 14,737 | 32.2 |
| 1938 | District judge pro tempore | 44,503 | 18,601 | 40.0 |
| 1940 | Absentee voting | 41,322 | 21,737 | 34.0 |
| 1940 | Split legislative sessions | 31,490 | 28,415 | 32.3 |
| 1942 | Apportionment of legislature | 12,490 | 20,879 | 30.7 |
| 1942 | Annual legislative salaries | 11,565 | 21,922 | 30.8 |
| 1942 | Contracts for grazing and agricultural leases | 14,589 | 17,624 | 29.6 |
| 1942 | Staggered terms for educational boards of regents | 13,648 | 18,849 | 29.9 |
| 1942 | Split legislative sessions | 10,516 | 20,808 | 28.8 |
| 1942 | New Mexico Educational Institutions Board | 10,123 | 21,204 | 28.8 |
| 1944 | Increased compensation of legislators | 26,547 | 23,041 | 33.6 |
| 1946 | Elimination of split session | 15,915 | 5,676 | 16.3 |
| 1946 | Property tax exemptions | 15,645 | 6,925 | 17.0 |
| 1948 | Call of special session by legislature | 36,166 | 24,184 | 31.7 |
| 1948 | Compensation for legislative employees | 31,172 | 29,633 | 32.0 |
| 1948 | Right to work | 43,229 | 60,865 | 54.8 |
| 1948 | Four-year terms for county officers | 27,349 | 31,981 | 31.3 |
| 1948 | Four-year terms for state executive officers | 28,914 | 30,364 | 31.2 |
| 1948 | Succession to governorship by lieutenant governor | 35,730 | 22,193 | 30.5 |
| 1958 | Absentee voting | 69,567 | 19,061 | 43.2 |
| 1958 | Elected State Board of Education | 48,884 | 41,795 | 44.2 |
| 1958 | Investment of state permanent funds | 56,877 | 26,332 | 40.6 |
| 1958 | Remission of debts due state | 58,347 | 28,802 | 42.5 |
| 1958 | Four-year terms for county officers | 41,443 | 44,442 | 41.9 |
| 1960 | Staggered terms for state senators | 61,842 | 61,522 | 40.4 |
| 1960 | Annual legislative sessions | 58,405 | 61,340 | 39.2 |
| 1960 | Time limit on bill introduction set by legislature | 58,840 | 56,532 | 37.8 |
| 1960 | Confirming state institutions | 75,987 | 47,724 | 40.5 |
| 1960 | Four-year terms for state executive officers | 49,751 | 71,987 | 39.9 |
| 1960 | Location of executive offices in Santa Fe | 44,244 | 70,872 | 37.7 |
| 1960 | Change names of certain state institutions | 74,256 | 44,823 | 39.0 |
| 1960 | Division of counties into county commission districts | 58,477 | 58,102 | 38.1 |
| 1960 | Continuity of government in case of disaster | 83,742 | 37,591 | 39.7 |
| 1962 | Joint election of governor and lieutenant governor | 41,435 | 22,283 | 45.2 |
| 1964 | Validating land titles prior to Sept. 4, 1956 | 72,258 | 49,758 | 38.4 |
| 1964 | Annual legislative sessions | 71,499 | 50,785 | 38.5 |
| 1964 | Western New Mexico University, name change | 89,084 | 31,788 | 38.0 |
| 1964 | H-class county charter | 82,163 | 34,663 | 36.7 |

[^20]| YEAR | SUBJECT | VOTE ON PROPOSED CA |  | PERCENT OF VOTES CAST FOR GOVERNOR <br> ALSO CAST FOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FOR | AGAINST | PROPOSED CA |
| 1964 | Absentee voting, removal of voting restrictions for women and Indians | 106,579 | 23,694 | 41.0 |
| 1964 | School bond issues, remodeling and additions | 70,619 | 47,858 | 37.2 |
| 1964 | Municipal bonds, special elections, nonresident voting | 63,791 | 53,237 | 36.8 |
| 1964 | Permitting tax legislation by reference | 62,129 | 51,937 | 35.8 |
| 1964 | Director, State Highway Department | 54,547 | 63,306 | 37.1 |
| 1964 | Corporation Commission, salaries, powers and duties | 72,224 | 41,103 | 35.6 |
| 1966 | Abolishing justices of the peace, establishing magistrate courts | 81,055 | 26,317 | 41.3 |
| 1968 | [To call a constitutional convention]** | 80,242 | 35,997 | 36.5 |
| 1970 | Municipal home rule | 77,095 | 60,867 | 47.6 |
| 1970 | Elective franchise article**** | 67,299 | 63,279 | 45.0 |
| 1970 | Four-year terms for state executive officers | 79,722 | 59,426 | 48.0 |
| 1970 | Current School Fund levy repeal | 60,531 | 68,720 | 44.5 |
| 1970 | Amending procedure | 57,778 | 67,889 | 43.3 |
| 1970 | Board of regents removal | 56,047 | 74,927 | 45.1 |
| 1970 | Student loan program | 57,864 | 78,061 | 46.8 |
| 1970 | Taxation and revenue article | 65,552 | 71,537 | 47.2 |
| 1972 | Equal rights | 155,633 | 64,823 | * |
| 1972 | Property tax exemptions, certain interests | 141,622 | 73,386 | * |
| 1972 | Six-person juries | 83,498 | 128,595 | * |
| 1974 | Legislative Compensation Commission | 47,104 | 75,618 | 37.3 |
| 1974 | Political subdivisions, taxing powers | 62,103 | 62,083 | 37.8 |
| 1974 | Loans to students of healing arts | 77,761 | 49,294 | 38.7 |
| 1976 | Terms of county officers, two-term limitation removed | 91,755 | 190,645 | * |
| 1976 | Terms of state executive officers, two four-year terms limitation | 117,167 | 181,201 | * |
| 1976 | Property tax exemption, certain interest | 110,232 | 155,761 | * |
| 1976 | Appointive State Board of Education | 94,258 | 157,986 | * |
| 1976 | Five-member board of county commissioners, four-year terms class B counties | 110,893 | 133,708 | * |
| 1976 | Severance Tax Permanent Fund | 155,365 | 99,836 | * |
| 1976 | Legislature, number of members | 130,364 | 115,684 | * |
| 1978 | Judicial conduct | 142,468 | 53,660 | 56.7 |
| 1978 | Retired judges, appointment | 103,611 | 87,969 | 55.5 |
| 1978 | Postponement of property taxes for elderly | 78,796 | 113,034 | 55.5 |
| 1978 | Annual legislative salary | 90,068 | 103,213 | 56.0 |
| 1980 | Dona Ana board of county commissioners | 132,542 | 100,449 | * |
| 1980 | Albuquerque school district, seven-member board | 147,035 | 95,385 | * |
| 1980 | Denial of bail | 157,992 | 88,033 | * |
| 1980 | State officers, two consecutive terms | 107,676 | 138,393 | * |
| 1980 | Grand jury convention petition | 124,996 | 108,056 | * |
| 1980 | Legislative per diem and mileage increase | 105,693 | 138,339 | * |
| 1982 | Merit selection of judges | 117,601 | 139,643 | 63.2 |
| 1982 | Severance Tax Permanent Fund | 125,727 | 125,324 | 61.7 |
| 1982 | National guard service pay tax exemption | 113,247 | 143,574 | 63.0 |
| 1982 | County sheriffs, unlimited terms | 109,611 | 142,871 | 62.0 |
| 1982 | Legislative per diem and mileage increase | 148,486 | 112,763 | 64.1 |
| 1982 | Yellow pages amendment | 201,014 | 60,212 | 64.1 |
| 1982 | County indebtedness | 156,113 | 97,644 | 62.3 |
| 1984 | State-mandated county services | 220,101 | 64,684 | * |
| 1986 | Local school board recall | 178,149 | 103,483 | 71.3 |
| 1986 | Right to keep and bear arms | 179,716 | 111,517 | 73.7 |
| 1986 | Government bodies, single-member district | 181,880 | 84,964 | 67.6 |
| 1986 | Public money deposits | 198,766 | 78,948 | 66.1 |

[^21]| YEAR | SUBJECT | VOTE ON PROPOSED CA |  | PERCENT OF VOTES CAST FOR GOVERNOR <br> ALSO CAST FOR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FOR | AGAINST | PROPOSED CA |
| 1986 | Disposition of forfeitures | 181,813 | 93,731 | 69.9 |
| 1986 | Interim hearings on confirmations | 161,322 | 103,134 | 66.9 |
| 1986 | State Board of Education | 142,909 | 126,928 | 68.3 |
| 1986 | UNM Board of Regents | 164,385 | 108,118 | 69.4 |
| 1986 | County officers' terms | 119,504 | 156,177 | 69.8 |
| 1986 | Workers' compensation body | 173,989 | 92,419 | 67.5 |
| 1986 | State executive officers' terms | 168,850 | 106,013 | 69.5 |
| 1988 | Legislative retirement | 162,657 | 207,133 | * |
| 1988 | Gubernatorial removal of appointees | 224,091 | 145,206 | * |
| 1988 | Head-of-family exemption | 282,926 | 93,218 | * |
| 1988 | County bond issues | 228,519 | 140,676 | * |
| 1988 | Bail for convicted persons | 278,909 | 95,156 | * |
| 1988 | Judicial reform | 203,509 | 159,957 | * |
| 1988 | Board of county commissioners, five members, terms | 203,309 | 123,799 | * |
| 1990 | Permanent school funds management | 189,456 | 125,779 | 76.7 |
| 1990 | Permanent school funds investment | 137,565 | 169,859 | 74.8 |
| 1990 | Legislative per diem and salary | 78,643 | 234,497 | 76.1 |
| 1990 | State financial obligations | 97,460 | 210,575 | 74.9 |
| 1990 | Land exchange authority | 129,889 | 177,245 | 74.7 |
| 1992 | County indebtedness restrictions | 225,749 | 246,366 | * |
| 1992 | Crime victims' rights | 324,509 | 148,419 | * |
| 1992 | Terms for county elected officials | 317,887 | 151,625 | * |
| 1992 | Legislative Compensation Commission | 215,628 | 245,159 | * |
| 1994 | Local school board recall | 115,441 | 281,588 | 84.9 |
| 1994 | Grand jury petitions | 203,496 | 192,459 | 84.7 |
| 1994 | Student regent | 238,458 | 165,119 | 86.3 |
| 1994 | Highway commission, rename | 174,276 | 223,455 | 85.1 |
| 1994 | County bonds | 192,861 | 210,001 | 86.2 |
| 1994 | State hospital, rename | 166,636 | 231,931 | 85.2 |
| 1994 | Lottery and gaming*** | 234,988 | 200,321 | 93.1 |
| 1994 | Anti-donation | 209,019 | 186,505 | 84.6 |
| 1994 | Judicial retention | 222,910 | 166,639 | 83.3 |
| 1994 | Voter qualification | 172,111 | 210,576 | 81.8 |
| 1994 | Severance Tax Permanent Fund | 173,924 | 208,556 | 81.8 |
| 1994 | Land grant permanent funds | 187,216 | 192,492 | 81.2 |
| 1994 | Legislative per diem | 181,842 | 212,885 | 84.4 |
| 1996 | Permanent funds | 307,442 | 153,021 | * |
| 1996 | School district debt | 238,126 | 230,850 | * |
| 1996 | County official recall | 330,258 | 132,969 | * |
| 1996 | Constitutional amendment process | 294,328 | 166,415 | * |
| 1996 | Legislative per diem | 309,927 | 155,265 | * |
| 1996 | Corporation Commission repeal; Public Regulation Commission | 232,788 | 221,693 | * |
| 1996 | County bonds | 228,751 | 227,580 | * |
| 1998 | Residential property valuation for property tax | 261,507 | 169,513 | 86.4 |
| 1998 | Judicial Standards Commission membership | 213,354 | 199,143 | 82.7 |
| 1998 | Limits on holding county office | 288,419 | 136,010 | 85.1 |
| 1998 | Public employees retirement system and education retirement system trust funds | 336,043 | 97,716 | 87.0 |
| 1998 | Property tax exemption for disabled veterans | 279,787 | 143,585 | 84.9 |
| 2000 | Creation of Bernalillo urban county | 261,323 | 225,439 | * |
| 2000 | Eliminate term limits for county elected officials | 134,319 | 376,706 | * |
| 2002 | Veterans' property tax exemption | 311,429 | 123,238 | 89.8 |

[^22]| YEAR | SUBJECT | $\begin{gathered} \text { VOTE ON } \\ \text { PROPOSED CA } \end{gathered}$ |  | PERCENT OF VOTES <br> CAST FOR <br> GOVERNOR <br> ALSO CAST FOR <br> PROPOSED CA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FOR | AGAINST |  |
| 2002 | Voter qualification | 183,943 | 243,437 | 88.2 |
| 2002 | Judicial districts | 284,644 | 129,350 | 85.3 |
| 2002 | Non-U.S. citizen ownership of property | 199,683 | 233,018 | 89.4 |
| 2002 | Disabled veteran property tax exemption | 315,036 | 118,818 | 89.6 |
| 2002 | Donation by state, county or municipality | 239,388 | 190,380 | 88.8 |
| 2002 | Cesar Chavez holiday | 159,536 | 277,523 | 90.3 |
| 2002 | Vietnam veterans' scholarship eligibility | 303,443 | 127,954 | 89.1 |
| 2002 | Change name of State Highway Commission | 216,734 | 205,489 | 87.2 |
| 2004 | Runoff elections for municipalities | 419,251 | 214,844 | * |
| 2004 | Veterans' property tax exemption | 452,386 | 212,297 | * |
| 2004 | Change name of New Mexico School for the Blind | 462,144 | 188,026 | * |
| 2006 | Protection of right to own property | 330,309 | 142,568 | 74.5 |
| 2006 | Building lease agreement for state | 337,019 | 149,344 | 73.3 |
| 2006 | Water Trust Fund | 312,764 | 163,136 | 86.0 |
| 2006 | Local government affordable housing | 266,861 | 213,468 | 88.4 |
| 2008 | Increase certain school board sizes*** | 368,438 | 323,553 | 86.5 |
| 2008 | County officers midterm salary increases | 184,781 | 511,900 | 87.3 |
| 2008 | Cabinet secretary confirmations | 490,160 | 191,299 | * |
| 2008 | School elections with other elections**** | 512,962 | 175,767 | * |
| 2008 | Lieutenant governor vacancy appointment | 477,975 | 215,727 | * |
| 2010 | War veteran college scholarship | 409,180 | 119,195 | 87.6 |
| 2010 | Extend county official term limits | 91,205 | 432,543 | 86.9 |
| 2010 | Modernize election language**** | 290,593 | 219,940 | 84.7 |
| 2010 | Veterans' organization property tax | 299,345 | 217,045 | 85.7 |
| 2010 | Civil offices for former legislators | 115,923 | 394,574 | 84.7 |
| 2012 | Judicial Standards Commission membership | 403,149 | 266,170 | * |
| 2012 | Public Regulation Commission member qualifications | 542,927 | 128,238 | * |
| 2012 | Authority to charter corporations to secretary of state | 326,536 | 316,492 | * |
| 2012 | Independent Department of Insurance | 330,873 | 321,054 | * |
| 2012 | Independent Public Defender Department | 404,132 | 247,241 | * |
| 2014 | School elections with other elections**** | 258,673 | 189,783 | 87.5 |
| 2014 | Board of regents, student member | 282,620 | 153,881 | 85.1 |
| 2014 | Judicial retention candidate filing date | 264,351 | 159,580 | 82.7 |
| 2014 | Expand urban county eligibility | 251,584 | 173,316 | 82.9 |
| 2014 | Land grant permanent funds investment | 225,641 | 202,072 | 83.4 |

[^23]
## TOTAL NO. TIMES PROPOSED

1973(2); 1975(2); 1982; 1986; 1988; 1992; 1997; 1999; 2010

1913; 1923; 1927; 1937; 1947; 1959; 1961; 1970; 1975; 1979; 1986

1925; 1927; 1937; 1941; 1943; 1949; 1951; 1953; 1961; 1965; 1971; 1974; 1978; 1980; 1982; 1988; 1990; 1992; 1994; 1996

1921; 1939; 1941; 1945; 1947; 1953; 1959; 1961; 1963

1919; 1937; 1939; 1949; 1951; 1953; 1955; 1957; 1961; 1963; 1967
Compensation of Judges 1933; 1949; 1951(2); 1953(2) 6

Qualifications of Officials

Boards of Regents for Educational Institutions

State Board of Education Composition*

State Corporation Commission
Powers**

Veterans' Tax Exemptions

1933; 1949; 1951(2); 1953(2)

1912; 1921; 1961(2); 1973; 2012

1919; 1941(2); 1949; 1970; 1993; 1994; 2014

1929; 1935; 1951; 1957; 1975; 1986; 2003

1921; 1955; 1961; 1963; 1982; 1996; 2012

1921; 1935; 1949; 1953; 1973; 1998; 2001(2); 2003; 2010

[^24]|  | Nov. 5, 1968 <br> TO CALL CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION |  |  | Dec. 9, 1969 <br> TO RATIFY PROPOSED CONSTITUTION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COUNTY | YES | NO | TOTAL | YES | NO | TOTAL |
| Bernalillo | 38,814 | 8,057 | 46,871 | 28,368 | 15,303 | 43,671 |
| Catron | 91 | 239 | 330 | 134 | 351 | 485 |
| Chaves | 4,467 | 2,837 | 7,304 | 2,289 | 1,449 | 3,738 |
| Colfax | 821 | 499 | 1,320 | 576 | 1,135 | 1,711 |
| Curry | 1,411 | 1,990 | 3,401 | 798 | 2,319 | 3,117 |
| De Baca | 180 | 243 | 423 | 193 | 238 | 431 |
| Dona Ana | 4,918 | 2,156 | 7,074 | 4,324 | 1,761 | 6,085 |
| Eddy | 2,500 | 2,112 | 4,612 | 2,379 | 1,964 | 4,343 |
| Grant | 967 | 992 | 1,959 | 399 | 1,614 | 2,013 |
| Guadalupe | 146 | 123 | 269 | 119 | 590 | 709 |
| Harding | 87 | 156 | 243 | 84 | 209 | 293 |
| Hidalgo | 204 | 302 | 506 | 100 | 338 | 438 |
| Lea | 2,073 | 2,212 | 4,285 | 1,053 | 3,381 | 4,434 |
| Lincoln | 592 | 440 | 1,032 | 375 | 636 | 1,011 |
| Los Alamos | 2,450 | 761 | 3,211 | 3,043 | 1,205 | 4,248 |
| Luna | 826 | 620 | 1,446 | 361 | 1,088 | 1,449 |
| McKinley | 1,696 | 611 | 2,307 | 1,037 | 620 | 1,657 |
| Mora | 92 | 77 | 169 | 81 | 878 | 959 |
| Otero | 1,741 | 1,186 | 2,927 | 1,418 | 1,951 | 3,369 |
| Quay | 936 | 956 | 1,892 | 670 | 786 | 1,456 |
| Rio Arriba | 710 | 939 | 1,649 | 638 | 3,432 | 4,070 |
| Roosevelt | 854 | 1,249 | 2,103 | 527 | 1,472 | 1,999 |
| Sandoval | 834 | 240 | 1,074 | 923 | 946 | 1,869 |
| San Juan | 3,626 | 1,635 | 5,261 | 1,688 | 3,102 | 4,790 |
| San Miguel | 858 | 503 | 1,361 | 750 | 2,732 | 3,482 |
| Santa Fe | 4,185 | 1,956 | 6,141 | 2,906 | 6,989 | 9,895 |
| Sierra | 256 | 577 | 833 | 369 | 825 | 1,194 |
| Socorro | 806 | 346 | 1,152 | 531 | 1,070 | 1,601 |
| Taos | 683 | 403 | 1,086 | 669 | 1,605 | 2,274 |
| Torrance | 288 | 280 | 568 | 418 | 596 | 1,014 |
| Union | 200 | 544 | 744 | 246 | 727 | 973 |
| Valencia | 1,930 | 756 | 2,686 | 2,219 | 2,075 | 4,294 |
| Totals | 80,242 | 35,997 | 116,239 | 59,685 | 63,387 | 123,072 |

AMENDMENT

| ART. | SECT. |  | LEGISLATURE | NUMBER | SUBJECT | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 6 |  | 1971 | CA 3 | Right to bear arms | Nov. 2, 1971* |
| 2 | 6 |  | 1985 | CA 2 | Right to keep and bear arms | Nov. 4, 1986 |
| 2 | 12 |  | 1972 | CA 3 | Six-person juries | - |
| 2 | 13 |  | 1979 | CA 3 | Denial of bail | Nov. 4, 1980 |
| 2 | 13 |  | 1988 | CA 5 | Bail for convicted persons | Nov. 8, 1988 |
| 2 | 14 |  | 1923 | CA 3 | Indictment and information, information added | Nov. 4, 1924 |
| 2 | 14 |  | 1935 | CA 5 | Indictment and information | - |
| 2 | 14 |  | 1979 | CA 5 | Grand jury convention petition, signature increase | Nov. 4, 1980 |
| 2 | 14 |  | 1993 | CA 2 | Grand jury signatures | Nov. 8, 1994 |
| 2 | 15 |  | 1935 | CA 4 | Double jeopardy, degrees to be stricken | - |
| 2 | 18 |  | 1972 | CA 1 | Equal rights | Nov. 7, 1972 |
| 2 | 22 |  | 1921 | CA 2 | Alien land ownership | Sept. 20, 1921* |
| 2 | 22 | (repeal) | 2001 | CA 4 | Non-U.S. citizen ownership of property | - |
| 2 | 22 |  | 2005 | CA 1 | Protection of right to own property | Nov. 7, 2006 |
| 2 | 24 |  | 1947 | CA 3 | Right to work | - |
| 2 | 24 |  | 1992 | CA 2 | Crime victims' rights | Nov. 3, 1992 |
| 3 | 1 |  | 1986 | CA 10 | Workers' compensation body | Nov. 4, 1986 |
| 4 | 2 |  | 1959 | CA 9 | Continuity of government, disaster | Nov. 8, 1960 |
| 4 | 3 |  | 1941 | CA 1 | Legislative apportionment | - |
| 4 | 3 |  | 1949 | CA 10 | Legislative apportionment | Sept. 20, 1949* |
| 4 | 3 |  | 1955 | CA 1 | Legislative apportionment | Sept. 20, 1955* |
| 4 | 3 |  | 1976 | CA 7 | Legislature, number of members | Nov. 2, 1976 |
| 4 | 4 |  | 1953 | CA 4 | Filling vacancies in legislature | Sept. 15, 1953* |
| 4 | 4 |  | 1959 | CA 1 | Stagger terms for state senators | Nov. 8, 1960 |
| 4 | 5 |  | 1921 | CA 6 | Length of legislative sessions, schedule for presentation of budget, legislative action on executive budget | - |
| 4 | 5 |  | 1939 | CA 3 | Split legislative session, 30 and 30 days | Nov. 5, 1940 |
| 4 | 5 |  | 1941 | CA 5 | Split legislative session, 20 and 40 days | - |
| 4 | 5 |  | 1945 | CA 1 | Eliminate split legislative session | Nov. 5, 1946 |
| 4 | 5 |  | 1953 | CA 7 | Annual legislative sessions | - |
| 4 | 5 |  | 1959 | CA 2 | Annual legislative session | - |
| 4 | 5 |  | 1961 | CA 8 | Annual legislative sessions | - |
| 4 | 5 |  | 1963 | CA 2 | Annual legislative sessions | Nov. 3, 1964 |
| 4 | 6 |  | 1947 | CA 1 | Extraordinary session call by legislature | Nov. 2, 1948 |
| 4 | 9 |  | 1947 | CA 2 | Eliminate maximum compensation for legislative employees | Nov. 2, 1948 |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1925 | CA 1 | Compensation of legislators, increase | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1927 | CA 1 | Compensation of legislators, increase | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1937 | CA 6 | Legislators' compensation | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1941 | CA 2 | Compensation of legislators, annual salary | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1943 | CA 1 | Legislators' compensation | Nov. 7, 1944 |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1949 | CA 9 | Legislators' compensation, annual salary | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1951 | CA 7 | Legislators' compensation | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1953 | CA 5 | Legislators' compensation | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1961 | CA 6 | Legislators' compensation to be determined by law | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1965 | CA 1 | Legislative compensation | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1971 | CA 2 | Legislative compensation, \$40 per diem | Nov. 2, 1971* |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1974 | CA 1 | Legislative Compensation Commission | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1978 | CA 4 | Annual legislative salary | - |

[^25]| ART. | SECT. | AMENDMENT |  |  |  | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LEGISLATURE | NUMBER | SUBJECT |  |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1980 | CA 6 | Legislative per diem and mileage increase | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1982 | CA 5 | Legislative per diem and mileage increase | Nov. 2, 1982 |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1988 | CA 1 | Legislative retirement | Nov. 8, 1988 |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1990 | CA 3 | Legislative per diem and salary | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1992 | CA 4 | Legislative Compensation Commission | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1993 | CA 5 | Legislative per diem | withdrawn |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1994 | CA 14 | Legislative per diem | - |
| 4 | 10 |  | 1996 | CA 5 | Legislative per diem and mileage | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 4 | 18 |  | 1963 | CA 8 | Permitting tax legislation by reference | Nov. 3, 1964 |
| 4 | 19 |  | 1927 | CA 5 | Introduction of bills, 45th day | - |
| 4 | 19 |  | 1931 | CA 2 | Introduction of bills, 45th day | Nov. 8, 1932 |
| 4 | 19 |  | 1959 | CA 3 | Time limit on bill introduction, set by legislature | Nov. 8, 1960 |
| 4 | 22 |  | 1953 | CA 3 | Governor's veto, approval or rejection within 20 days after adjournment | Sept. 15, 1953* |
| 4 | 28 |  | 1941 | CA 2 | Limit appointment to other offices | - |
| 4 | 28 |  | 1961 | CA 12 | Legislators serve on State Board of Finance | - |
| 4 | 28 |  | 2010 | CA 5 | Civil offices for former legislators | - |
| 4 | 32 |  | 1957 | CA 4 | Remission, debts due state | Nov. 4, 1958 |
| 4 | 42 |  | 1965 | CA 6 | Establish legislative auditor | - |
| 4 | 42 | (new) | 1986 | CA 6 | Interim hearings by senate on confirmations | Nov. 4, 1986 |
| 4 |  |  | 1965 | CA 4 | Weighted voting, state senate | - |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1913 | JR 15 | Terms of executive officers, changed from four to two years | Nov. 3, 1914 |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1921 | CA 3 | Executive officers | - |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1923 | CA 2 | Terms of executive officers, four years | - |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1937 | CA 4 | Terms of executive officers, remove two-term limitation | - |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1947 | CA 5 | Terms of executive officers, four years | - |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1951 | CA 2 | Delete reference to elected superintendent of public instruction | - |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1957 | CA 2 | Election of State Board of Education | Nov. 4, 1958 |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1959 | CA 5 | Terms of elected state officials, four years | - |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1961 | CA 1 | Terms of executive state officers, four years | - |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1961 | CA 10 | Delete state auditor and provisions relating to salaries of officers | - |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1961 | CA 13 | Election of governor and lieutenant governor on joint ticket | Sept. 19, 1961* |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1970 | CA 3 | Terms of state executive officers, four years | Nov. 3, 1970 |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1975 | CA 2 | Terms of state executive officers, two four-year terms, limitation | - |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1979 | CA 4 | State officers, two consecutive terms | - |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1986 | CA 11 | State executive officers, two consecutive four-year terms | Nov. 4, 1986 |
| 5 | 2 |  | 1961 | CA 13 | Election of governor and lieutenant governor on joint ticket | Sept. 19, 1961* |
| 5 | 5 |  | 1988 | CA 2 | Gubernatorial removal of appointees | Nov. 8, 1988 |
| 5 | 7 |  | 1947 | CA 6 | Succession to governorship by lieutenant governor | Nov. 2, 1948 |
| 5 | 12 |  | 1961 | CA 10 | Delete state auditor and provisions relating to salaries of officers | - |
| 5 | 13 |  | 1959 | CA 8 | Division of counties into county commission districts | Nov. 8, 1960 |
| 5 | 13 |  | 1985 | CA 3 | Governing bodies, single-member districts | Nov. 4, 1986 |
| 5 | 14 |  | 1949 | CA 3 | Create State Highway Commission | Sept. 20, 1949* |
| 5 | 14 |  | 1955 | CA 3 | State Highway Commission | Sept. 20, 1955* |

[^26]AMENDMENT

| ART. | SECT. |  | LEGISLATURE | NUMBER | SUBJECT | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 14 |  | 1961 | CA 2 | State Highway Commission, resubmission of appointments to state senate | Sept. 19, 1961* |
| 5 | 14 |  | 1963 | CA 9 | Director, State Highway Department | - |
| 5 | 14 |  | 1967 | CA 4 | State Highway Commission | Nov. 7, 1967* |
| 5 | 14 |  | 1993 | CA 4 | Highway Commission name change | - |
| 5 | 14 |  | 2001 | CA 9 | Change State Highway Commission to State Transportation Commission | Nov. 5, 2002 |
| 5 | 15 |  | 1959 | CA 6 | Location of executive offices | - |
| 5 | 15 | (new) | 2008 | CA 3 | Cabinet secretary confirmations | Nov. 4, 2008 |
| 5 | 16 | (new) | 2008 | CA 5 | Lieutenant governor vacancy appointment | Nov. 4, 2008 |
| 6 | 1 |  | 1933 | CA 3 | Judicial department, compensation of judges, abolish probate courts, etc. | - |
| 6 | 1 |  | 1965 | CA 10 | Abolish justices of the peace, establish magistrate courts | Nov. 8, 1966 |
| 6 | 1 |  | 1965 | CA 5 | Establish court of appeals | Sept. 28, 1965* |
| 6 | 2 |  | 1965 | CA 5 | Establish court of appeals | Sept. 28, 1965* |
| 6 | 3 |  | 1965 | CA 5 | Establish court of appeals | Sept. 28, 1965* |
| 6 | 4 |  | 1981 | CA 1 | Merit selection of judges | - |
| 6 | 4 |  | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection | - |
| 6 | 8 |  | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection | - |
| 6 | 10 |  | 1981 | CA 1 | Merit selection of judges | - |
| 6 | 11 |  | 1951 | CA 3 | Allow legislature to fix salaries of supreme court justices | - |
| 6 | 11 |  | 1953 | CA 8 | Allow legislature to fix salaries of supreme court justices | Sept. 15, 1953* |
| 6 | 12 |  | 1917 | JR 16 | Judicial districts | - |
| 6 | 12 |  | 1933 | CA 3 | Judicial department, compensation of judges, abolish probate courts, etc. | - |
| 6 | 12 |  | 1981 | CA 1 | Merit selection of judges | - |
| 6 | 12 |  | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection |  |
| 6 | 13 |  | 1933 | CA 3 | Judicial department, compensation of judges, abolish probate courts, etc. | - |
| 6 | 14 |  | 1981 | CA 1 | Merit selection of judges | - |
| 6 | 14 |  | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection |  |
| 6 | 15 |  | 1937 | CA 5 | District judges pro tempore | Nov. 8, 1938 |
| 6 | 15 |  | 1977 | CA 2 | Retired judges, appointment | Nov. 7, 1978 |
| 6 | 16 |  | 1933 | CA 3 | Judicial department, compensation of judges, abolish probate courts, etc. | - |
| 6 | 16 |  | 1981 | CA 1 | Merit selection of judges | - |
| 6 | 16 |  | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection |  |
| 6 | 17 |  | 1933 | CA 3 | Judicial department, compensation of judges, abolish probate courts, etc. | - |
| 6 | 17 |  | 1949 | CA 2 | Legislature to set salary of district judges | - |
| 6 | 17 |  | 1951 | CA 4 | Allow legislature to fix salaries of district judges | - |
| 6 | 17 |  | 1953 | CA 9 | Allow legislature to fix salaries of district judges | Sept. 15, 1953* |
| 6 | 18 |  | 1965 | CA 10 | Abolish justices of the peace, establish magistrate courts | Nov. 8, 1966 |
| 6 | 19 |  | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection |  |
| 6 | 21 |  | 1965 | CA 10 | Abolish justices of the peace, establish magistrate courts | Nov. 8, 1966 |
| 6 | 23 |  | 1933 | CA 3 | Judicial department, compensation of judges, abolish probate courts, etc. | - |

[^27]| ART. | SECT. | AMENDMENT |  |  |  | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LEGISLATURE | NUMBER | SUBJECT |  |
| 6 | 23 |  | 1949 | CA 4 | Authorize legislature to bestow civil jurisdiction on probate courts | Sept. 20, 1949* |
| 6 | 25 |  | 1917 | JR 16 | Judicial districts | - |
| 6 | 25 |  | 1933 | CA 3 | Judicial department, compensation of judges, abolish probate courts, etc. | - |
| 6 | 25 | (repeal) | 2001 | CA 3 | Judicial districts | Nov. 5, 2002 |
| 6 | 26 |  | 1961 | CA 11 | Legislature prescribes qualifications of justices of the peace, police magistrates and constables | Sept. 19, 1961* |
| 6 | 26 |  | 1965 | CA 10 | Abolish justices of the peace, establish magistrate courts | Nov. 8, 1966 |
| 6 | 26 |  | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection | - |
| 6 | 27 |  | 1933 | CA 3 | Judicial department, compensation of judges, abolish probate courts, etc. | - |
| 6 | 27 |  | 1965 | CA 10 | Abolish justices of the peace, establish magistrate courts | Nov. 8, 1966 |
| 6 | 28 |  | 1981 | CA 1 | Merit selection of judges | - |
| 6 | 28 |  | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection | - |
| 6 | 29 |  | 1965 | CA 5 | Establish court of appeals | Sept. 28, 1965* |
| 6 | 30 |  | 1965 | CA 10 | Abolish justices of the peace, establish magistrate courts | Nov. 8, 1966 |
| 6 | 31 |  | 1965 | CA 10 | Abolish justices of the peace, establish magistrate courts | Nov. 8, 1966 |
| 6 | 32 |  | 1967 | CA 6 | Judicial discipline and removal | Nov. 7, 1967* |
| 6 | 32 |  | 1977 | CA 1 | Judicial conduct | Nov. 7, 1978 |
| 6 | 32 |  | 1997 | CA 2 | Judicial Standards Commission membership | Nov. 3, 1998 |
| 6 | 32 |  | 2011 | CA 1 | Judicial Standards Commission membership | Nov. 6, 2012 |
| 6 | 33 |  | 1981 | CA 1 | Merit selection of judges | - |
| 6 | 33 |  | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection | - |
| 6 | 33 |  | 1994 | CA 10 | Judicial retention elections | Nov. 8, 1994 |
| 6 | 34 |  | 1981 | CA 1 | Merit selection of judges | - |
| 6 | 34 | (new) | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection | - |
| 6 | 34 |  | 1994 | CA 10 | Judicial retention elections | Nov. 8, 1994 |
| 6 | 34 |  | 2013 | CA 3 | Judicial retention candidate filing date | Nov. 4, 2014 |
| 6 | 35 |  | 1981 | CA 1 | Merit selection of judges | - |
| 6 | 35 | (new) | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection | - |
| 6 | 36 |  | 1981 | CA 1 | Merit selection of judges | - |
| 6 | 36 | (new) | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection | - |
| 6 | 37 | (new) | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection | - |
| 6 | 38 | (new) | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection | - |
| 6 | 39 | (new) | 2012 | CA 5 | Independent Public Defender Department | Nov. 6, 2012 |
| 7 | 1 |  | 1937 | CA 1 | Absentee voting | - |
| 7 | 1 |  | 1939 | CA 2 | Absentee voting | - |
| 7 | 1 |  | 1949 | CA 1 | Absentee voting | - |
| 7 | 1 |  | 1951 | CA 6 | Absentee voting | - |
| 7 | 1 |  | 1955 | CA 2 | Absentee voting | - |
| 7 | 1 |  | 1957 | CA 1 | Absentee voting | - |
| 7 | 1 |  | 1961 | CA 4 | Absentee voting | - |
| 7 | 1 |  | 1963 | CA 5 | Absentee voting and removal of voting restriction for women and Indians | - |
| 7 | 1 |  | 1967 | CA 7 | Absentee voting and removal of voting restriction for women and Indians | Nov. 7, 1967* |
| 7 | 1 |  | 1971 | CA 1 | Lower voting age to 18 | - |

[^28]AMENDMENT

| ART. | SECT. | LEGISLATURE | NUMBER | SUBJECT | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1 | 1973 | CA 4 | Qualifications for voting | - |
| 7 | 1 | 1994 | CA 11 | Voter qualifications | - |
| 7 | 1 | 2001 | CA 2 | Voter qualifications | - |
| 7 | 1 | 2008 | CA 4 | School elections with other elections | - |
| 7 | 1 | 2010 | CA 3 | Modernize election language | - |
| 7 | 1 | 2013 | CA 1 | School elections with other elections | - |
| 7 | 2 | 1921 | CA 1 | Qualifications for holding office | Sept. 20, 1921* |
| 7 | 2 | 1961 | CA 9 | Legislature to establish qualifications of public officers | Sept. 19, 1961* |
| 7 | 2 | 1973 | CA 1 | Sex discrimination in qualifications for office | Nov. 6, 1973* |
| 7 | 4 | 1953 | CA 10 | Absentee voting | , |
| 7 | 5 | 1961 | CA 13 | Election of governor and lieutenant governor on joint ticket | Sept. 19, 1961* |
| 7 | 5 | 2003 | CA 3 | Runoff elections for municipalities | Nov. 2, 2004 |
| 7 | 6 | 1919 | JR 12 | Absentee voting | - |
| 7 | $\begin{gathered} {[1-11] \quad(\text { repeal \& }} \\ \text { reenact }) \end{gathered}$ | \& 1970 | CA 2 | Elective franchise | - |
| 8 | 1 | 1913 | JR 10 | Property tax | Nov. 3, 1914 |
| 8 | 1 | 1917 | JR 15 | Property tax | - |
| 8 | 1 | 1969 | CA 1 | Property tax, property classification | ** |
| 8 | 1 | 1971 | CA 6 | Property tax, property classification | Nov. 2, 1971* |
| 8 | 1 | 1997 | CA 1 | Residential property valuation for property tax purposes | Nov. 3, 1998 |
| 8 | 2 | 1913 | JR 10 | Property tax | Nov. 3, 1914 |
| 8 | 2 | 1921 | CA 8 | Property tax limitations | - |
| 8 | 2 | 1933 | CA 4 | Property tax 20-mill limitation | Sept. 19, 1933* |
| 8 | 2 | 1967 | CA 8 | Property tax, elections, exceeding 20-mill limitation | , |
| 8 | 3 | 1913 | JR 10 | Property tax | Nov. 3, 1914 |
| 8 | 3 | 1945 | CA 2 | Property tax exemptions | Nov. 5, 1946 |
| 8 | 3 | 1971 | CA 7 | Property tax, exempt water-user cooperatives | - |
| 8 | 3 | 1972 | CA 2 | Property tax exemptions | Nov. 7, 1972 |
| 8 | 3 | 1975 | CA 3 | Property tax, permit legislature to exempt certain interests in property owned by tax-exempt entity | - |
| 8 | 4 | 1913 | JR 10 | Property tax | Nov. 3, 1914 |
| 8 | 4 | 1967 | CA 2 | Public money deposit in savings and loan associations | Nov. 7, 1967* |
| 8 | 4 | 1985 | CA 4 | Public money deposits | Nov. 4, 1986 |
| 8 | 5 | 1913 | JR 10 | Property tax | Nov. 3, 1914 |
| 8 | 5 | 1921 | CA 4 | Head of family and veteran tax exemptions | Sept. 20, 1921* |
| 8 | 5 | 1935 | CA 1 | Head of family and veteran tax exemptions, increase | - |
| 8 | 5 | 1949 | CA 5 | Tax exemptions for heads of families and veterans to include community or joint property | Sept. 20, 1949* |
| 8 | 5 | 1953 | CA 6 | Tax exemptions for heads of families and veterans | Sept. 15, 1953* |
| 8 | 5 | 1969 | CA 2 | Property tax, personal exemption | ** |
| 8 | 5 | 1973 | CA 2 | Sex discrimination in veterans' property tax exemptions | Nov. 6, 1973* |
| 8 | 5 | 1988 | CA 3 | Head-of-family exemption | Nov. 8, 1988 |
| 8 | 5 | 2001 | CA 1 | Veterans' property tax exemption | Nov. 5, 2002 |
| 8 | 5 | 2003 | CA 4 | Veterans' property tax exemption | Nov. 2, 2004 |
| 8 | 6 | 1913 | JR 10 | Property tax | Nov. 3, 1914 |
| 8 | 7 | 1913 | JR 10 | Property tax | Nov. 3, 1914 |
| 8 | 8 | 1953 | CA 11 | Natural resources investment fund | - |
| 8 | 8 | 1973 | CA 6 | Freeport personal property tax exemption | Nov. 6, 1973* |

[^29]| ART. | SECT. | AMENDMENT |  |  |  | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LEGISLATURE | NUMBER | SUBJECT |  |
| 8 | 9 |  | 1974 | CA 2 | Tax levy or assessment prohibited by political subdivision with appointed board | Nov. 5, 1974 |
| 8 | [10] | (new) | 1975 | CA 6 | Severance Tax Permanent Fund | Nov. 2, 1976 |
| 8 | 10 |  | 1981 | CA 2 | Severance Tax Permanent Fund | Nov. 2, 1982 |
| 8 | 10 |  | 1994 | CA 12 | Severance Tax Permanent Fund Distribution | - |
| 8 | 10 |  | 1996 | CA 1 | State permanent funds | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 8 | 11 |  | 1981 | CA 3 | \$3,000 income tax exemption for National Guard | - |
| 8 | 15 | (new) | 1998 | CA 5 | Property tax exemption for disabled veterans | Nov. 3, 1998 |
| 8 | 15 |  | 2001 | CA 5 | Disabled veteran property tax exemption | Nov. 5, 2002 |
| 8 | 16 |  | 2010 | CA 4 | Veterans' organizations property tax | Nov. 2, 2010 |
| 8 | [1-6] | (repeal \& reenact) | \& 1970 | CA 8 | Taxation and revenue | - |
| 8 |  | (new) | 1978 | CA 3 | Postponement of property taxes for elderly | - |
| 8 |  | (new) | 2010 | CA 4 | Veterans' organization property tax | - |
| 9 | 4 |  | 2001 | CA 8 | Vietnam veterans' scholarship eligibility | Nov. 5, 2002 |
| 9 | 8 |  | 1919 | JR 11 | Restrictions on state indebtedness | - |
| 9 | 8 |  | 2005 | CA 2 | Building lease agreements for state | Nov. 7, 2006 |
| 9 | 10 |  | 1963 | CA 6 | School bond issues, remodeling and additions | Nov. 3, 1964 |
| 9 | 10 |  | 1982 | CA 7 | County indebtedness for water and sewer systems, sanitary landfills and airports | Nov. 2, 1982 |
| 9 | 10 |  | 1988 | CA 4 | County bond issues | Nov. 8, 1988 |
| 9 | 10 |  | 1991 | CA 1 | County indebtedness restrictions | - |
| 9 | 10 |  | 1993 | CA 6 | Authorize certain county debt | - |
| 9 | 10 |  | 1996 | CA 7 | County-bonded indebtedness for certain projects | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 9 | 11 |  | 1933 | CA 2 | Restrictions on school district indebtedness | - |
| 9 | 11 |  | 1965 | CA 3 | Bonds for remodeling schools | Sept. 28, 1965* |
| 9 | 11 |  | 1996 | CA 2 | School district debt | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 9 | 12 |  | 1921 | CA 9 | Restrictions on municipal indebtedness | Sept. 20, 1921* |
| 9 | 12 |  | 1951 | CA 5 | Debt-contracting power of municipalities, election | - |
| 9 | 12 |  | 1953 | CA 1 | Debt-contracting power of municipalities, elections | Sept. 15, 1953* |
| 9 | 12 |  | 1963 | CA 7 | Municipal bonds, special election, nonresident vote | Nov. 3, 1964 |
| 9 | 14 |  | 1967 | CA 1 | Permit economic development loans | - |
| 9 | 14 |  | 1970 | CA 7 | Student loan payments | - |
| 9 | 14 |  | 1971 | CA 5 | Vietnam veterans' scholarships | Nov. 2, 1971* |
| 9 | 14 |  | 1974 | CA 3 | Loans to students of healing arts | Nov. 5, 1974 |
| 9 | 14 |  | 1993 | CA 9 | Public support of economic development | Nov. 8, 1994 |
| 9 | 14 |  | 2001 | CA 6 | Donation by state, county or municipality of land, buildings or costs of infrastructure for affordable housing | Nov. 5, 2002 |
| 9 | 14 |  | 2006 | CA 4 | Local government affordable housing | Nov. 7, 2006 |
| 9 | 14 |  | 2009 | CA 1 | War veteran college scholarship | Nov. 2, 2010 |
| 9 | 16 |  | 1921 | CA 11 | State highway bonds | Sept. 20, 1921* |
| 9 | 17 |  | 1937 | CA 2 | Limitation on state institution building bonds | - |
| 9 | 17 |  | 1939 | CA 1 | Limitation on state institution building bonds | - |
| 9 | 17 | (new) | 1990 | CA 4 | State financial obligations | - |
| 10 | 1 |  | 2007 | CA $1+$ | County officers midterm salary increases | - |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1913 | JR 9 | Terms of county officers, changed from four to two years | Nov. 3, 1914 |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1921 | CA 10 | Terms of county officers, limited to two terms except for county school superintendents | - |

[^30]AMENDMENT

| ART. | SECT. |  | LEGISLATURE | NUMBER | SUBJECT | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1923 | CA 1 | Terms of county officers, four years | - |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1937 | CA 3 | Terms of county officers, remove two-term limitation | - |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1947 | CA 4 | Terms of county officers, four years | - |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1957 | CA 5 | Remission, debts due state | Nov. 8, 1960 |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1961 | CA 1 | Terms of county officers, four years | - |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1973 | CA 7 | Age limitation on county officers, two-year unlimited terms | - |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1975 | CA 1 | Terms of county officers, two-term limitation removed | - |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1982 | CA 4 | County sheriffs, unlimited two-year terms | - |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1986 | CA 9 | County officers, four consecutive terms | - |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1992 | CA 3 | Terms for elected county officials | Nov. 3, 1992 |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1997 | CA 3 | Limits on holding county office | Nov. 3, 1998 |
| 10 | 2 |  | 1999 | CA 2 | Eliminate term limits for county elected officials | - |
| 10 | 2 |  | 2010 | CA 2 | Extend county official term limits | - |
| 10 | 4 |  | 1949 | CA 6 | Organization of city-county governments | Sept. 20, 1949* |
| 10 | 5 |  | 1963 | CA 4 | H-class county charter | Nov. 3, 1964 |
| 10 | 6 |  | 1969 | CA 4 | Municipal home rule | withdrawn |
| 10 | 6 |  | 1970 | CA 1 | Municipal home rule | Nov. 3, 1970 |
| 10 | 7 | (new) | 1973 | CA 5 | Five-member board of county commissioners, four-year terms, class A counties | Nov. 6, 1973* |
| 10 | 7 |  | 1975 | CA 5 | Five-member board of county commissioners, four-year terms, class B counties | - |
| 10 | 7 |  | 1979 | CA 1 | Dona Ana County board of commissioners, five members | Nov. 4, 1980 |
| 10 | 7 |  | 1988 | CA 7 | Boards of county commissioners, five members, staggered terms, four years | Nov. 8, 1988 |
| 10 | 7 |  | 1992 | CA 3 | Terms for elected county officials | Nov. 3, 1992 |
| 10 | 8 |  | 1984 | CA 1 | State regulation-mandated county or municipal services | Nov. 6, 1984 |
| 10 | [9] | (new) | 1996 | CA 3 | Recall county officers | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 10 | 10 | (new) | 1999 | CA 1 | Creation of Bernalillo urban county and creation of united Bernalillo County-Albuquerque urban government | Nov. 7, 2000 |
| 10 | 10 |  | 2014 | CA 4 | Expand urban county eligibility | Nov. 4, 2014 |
| 10 | 11 | (new) | 1999 | CA 1 | Creation of Bernalillo urban county and creation of united Bernalillo County-Albuquerque urban government | Nov. 7, 2000 |
| 11 | 1 |  | 1961 | CA 7 | State Corporation Commission | - |
| 11 | 1 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 1 |  | 2012 | CA 2 | Public Regulation Commission member qualifications | Nov. 6, 2012 |
| 11 | 2 |  | 1961 | CA 7 | State Corporation Commission | - |
| 11 | 2 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 2 |  | 2012 | CA 3 | Authority to charter corporations to secretary of state | Nov. 6, 2012 |
| 11 | 2 |  | 2012 | CA 4 | Independent Department of Insurance | Nov. 6, 2012 |
| 11 | 3 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 4 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 5 |  | 1963 | CA 10 | Corporation Commission, salaries, powers and duties | Nov. 3, 1964 |
| 11 | 5 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |

[^31]| ART. | SECT. | AMENDMENT |  |  |  | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LEGISLATURE | NUMBER | SUBJECT |  |
| 11 | 6 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 7 |  | 1963 | CA 10 | Corporation Commission, salaries, powers and duties | Nov. 3, 1964 |
| 11 | 7 |  | 1982 | CA 6 | Yellow pages amendment | Nov. 2, 1982 |
| 11 | 7 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 8 |  | 1963 | CA 10 | Corporation Commission, salaries, powers and duties | Nov. 3, 1964 |
| 11 | 8 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 9 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 10 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 11 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 12 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 15 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 16 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 17 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 6 | Create Public Regulation Commission, repeal State |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Corporation Commission | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 11 | 19 |  | 1921 | CA 5 | Legislature to establish powers of Corporation Commission | - |
| 11 | 19 | (new) | 2012 | CA 3 | Chartering corporations | Nov. 6, 2012 |
| 11 | 20 | (new) | 2012 | CA 4 | Creating office of superintendent of insurance | Nov. 6, 2012 |
| 11 | [1-5] |  | 1955 | CA 6 | State Corporation Commission | - |
| 12 | 2 |  | 1994 | CA 13 | Land grant permanent funds distribution and investment | - |
| 12 | 2 |  | 1996 | CA 1 | State permanent funds | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 12 | 4 |  | 1961 | CA 5 | Current School Fund, fines and forfeitures, legislature to prescribe administrative costs to be deducted | - |
| 12 | 4 |  | 1969 | CA 3 | Current School Fund levy | ** |
| 12 | 4 | (repeal) | 1970 | CA 4 | Current School Fund, state levy | - |
| 12 | 4 |  | 1971 | CA 10 | Current School Fund, state levy | Nov. 2, 1971* |
| 12 | 4 |  | 1986 | CA 5 | Disposition of forfeitures | Nov. 4, 1986 |
| 12 | 4 |  | 1996 | CA 1 | State permanent funds | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 12 | 6 |  | 1929 | CA 2 | Five-member State Board of Education, powers and duties | - |
| 12 | 6 |  | 1935 | CA 2 | Five-member State Board of Education, powers and duties | - |
| 12 | 6 |  | 1951 | CA 2 | State Board of Education, nine members | - |
| 12 | 6 |  | 1957 | CA 2 | Election of State Board of Education | Nov. 4, 1958 |
| 12 | [6] | (repeal \& reenact) | - 1975 | CA 4 | Appointive State Board of Education, State Department of Education | - |
| 12 | 6 |  | 1986 | CA 7 | State Board of Education, expand and enhance control | Nov. 4, 1986 |
| 12 | 6 |  | 2003 | CA 1 | Cabinet-level Public Education Department | Sept. 23, 2003* |
| 12 | 7 |  | 1957 | CA 3 | Investment of state permanent funds | Nov. 4, 1958 |
| 12 | 7 |  | 1965 | CA 2 | State permanent fund investments | Sept. 28, 1965* |
| 12 | 7 |  | 1989 | CA 1 | Permanent school funds management | Nov. 6, 1990 |

[^32]AMENDMENT

| ART. | SECT. |  | LEGISLATURE | NUMBER | SUBJECT | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 7 |  | 1990 | CA 2 | Permanent school fund investment | - |
| 12 | 7 |  | 1996 | CA 1 | State permanent funds | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 12 | 7 |  | 2003 | CA 2 | Land grant permanent funds distributions | - |
| 12 | 7 |  | 2014 | CA 5 | Land grant permanent funds investment | Nov. 4, 2014 |
| 12 | 11 |  | 1959 | CA 7 | Change names of certain state institutions | Nov. 8, 1960 |
| 12 | 11 |  | 1963 | CA 3 | Western New Mexico University, name change | Nov. 3, 1964 |
| 12 | 11 |  | 2004 | CA 5 | Change New Mexico School for the Visually Handicapped to New Mexico School for the Blind and Visually Impaired | Nov. 2, 2004 |
| 12 | 13 |  | 1919 | JR 13 | Placing state educational institutions under board of control; creation of board of control for state institutions | - |
| 12 | 13 |  | 1941 | CA 4 | Stagger terms, boards of regents, educational institutions | - |
| 12 | 13 |  | 1949 | CA 7 | Boards of regents, educational institutions, terms | Sept. 20, 1949* |
| 12 | 13 |  | 1970 | CA 6 | Board of regents, removal | - |
| 12 | 13 |  | 1986 | CA 8 | UNM Board of Regents, increase | Nov. 4, 1986 |
| 12 | 13 |  | 1993 | CA 3 | Board of regents, student member | Nov. 8, 1994 |
| 12 | 13 |  | 2013 | CA 2 | Board of regents, student member | Nov. 4, 2014 |
| 12 | 14 |  | 1941 | CA 6 | New Mexico Educational Institutions Board | - |
| 12 | 14 | (new) | 1973 | CA 3 | Local school board recall | Nov. 6, 1973* |
| 12 | 14 |  | 1985 | CA 1 | Local school boards, recall | Nov. 4, 1986 |
| 12 | 14 | (repeal) | 1993 | CA 1 | Local school board member recall | - |
| 12 | 15 |  | 1979 | CA 2 | Albuquerque school district, seven-member board | Nov. 4, 1980 |
| 12 | 15 |  | 2007 | CA $2+$ | Increase certain school board sizes | - |
| 13 | 1 |  | 1921 | CA 7 | Public lands, creating State Land Commission | - |
| 13 | 3 |  | 1963 | CA 1 | Validating land titles prior to Sept. 4, 1956 | Nov. 3, 1964 |
| 13 | 10 |  | 1921 | CA 7 | Public lands, creating State Land Commission | - |
| 14 | 1 |  | 1955 | CA 5 | Confirming certain institutions as state institutions | Sept. 20, 1955* |
| 14 | 1 |  | 1959 | CA 4 | Confirm institutions as state institutions | Nov. 8, 1960 |
| 14 | 1 |  | 1993 | CA 7 | New Mexico state hospital name change | - |
| 14 | 3 |  | 1919 | JR 13 | Creation of board of control for state institutions | - |
| 14 | 3 |  | 1955 | CA 4 | Legislature to prescribe manner of control and management of state institutions | Sept. 20, 1955* |
| 14 | 3 |  | 1955 | CA 5 | Confirming certain institutions as state institutions | Sept. 20, 1955* |
| 16 | 5 |  | 1967 | CA 5 | District court water appeals | Nov. 7, 1967* |
| 16 | [6] |  | 1965 | CA 8 | District court water appeals | - |
| 16 |  |  | 2006 | CA 3 | Water Trust Fund | Nov. 7, 2006 |
| 17 | 1 |  | 1961 | CA 3 | State mine inspector, legislature to prescribe qualifications | Sept. 19, 1961* |
| 19 | 1 |  | 1911 | Blue Ballot | Amendments to constitution | Nov. 7, 1911 |
| 19 | 1 |  | 1965 | CA 9 | Constitutional amendment procedure | withdrawn |
| 19 | 1 |  | 1996 | CA 4 | Constitutional amendment process | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 19 | 2 |  | 1911 | Blue Ballot | Amendments to constitution | Nov. 7, 1911 |
| 19 | 2 |  | 1996 | CA 4 | Constitutional amendment process | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 19 | 3 |  | 1911 | Blue Ballot | Amendments to constitution | Nov. 7, 1911 |
| 19 | 4 |  | 1911 | Blue Ballot | Amendments to constitution | Nov. 7, 1911 |
| 19 | 5 |  | 1911 | Blue Ballot | Amendments to constitution | Nov. 7, 1911 |
| 19 | 5 |  | 1965 | CA 7 | Constitutional amendment procedure | - |
| 19 | 5 |  | 1970 | CA 5 | Amendment procedure | - |
| 19 | 5 |  | 1971 | CA 8 | Amendment procedures | - |

[^33]| ART. | SECT. | AMENDMENT |  |  |  | ADOPTED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | LEGISLATURE | NUMBER | SUBJECT |  |
| 19 | 5 | (repeal) | 1996 | CA 4 | Constitutional amendment process | Nov. 5, 1996 |
| 20 | 3 |  | 1921 | CA 6 | Date terms of elective officers begin | - |
| 20 | 4 |  | 1981 | CA 1 | Merit selection of judges | - |
| 20 | 4 |  | 1988 | CA 6 | Judicial reform, merit selection | - |
| 20 | 17 |  | 1971 | CA 4 | Uniform system of textbooks | Nov. 2, 1971* |
| 20 | 21 | (new) | 1971 | CA 9 | Pollution control | Nov. 2, 1971* |
| 20 | 22 |  | 1993 | CA 8 | Lottery and certain games of chance | *** |
| 20 | 22 | (new) | 1998 | CA 4 | Public employees retirement system and educational retirement system trust funds | Nov. 3, 1998 |
| 20 | 23 | (new) | 2001 | CA 7 | Cesar Chavez holiday | - |
| 21 | 1 |  | 1951 | CA 1 | Eliminate prohibition of sale of intoxicating liquors to Indians | - |
| 21 | 1 |  | 1953 | CA 2 | Eliminate prohibition of sale of intoxicating liquors to Indians | Sept. 15, 1953* |
| 21 | 5 |  | 1912 | JR 6 | Compact with U.S., suffrage, qualifications for holding office deleted | Nov. 5, 1912 |
| 21 | 11 |  | 1927 | CA 3 | Consent to exchange of state lands | - |
| 21 | 11 |  | 1929 | CA 1 | Consent to exchange of state lands | - |
| 21 | 11 |  | 1931 | CA 1 | Consent to exchange of state lands | Nov. 8, 1932 |
| 21 | 12 | (new) | 1990 | CA 5 | Land exchange authority | - |
| 23 | 1 | (repeal) | 1933 | CA 1 | Repeal prohibition | Sept. 19, 1933* |
| 23 | 2 | (repeal) | 1933 | CA 1 | Repeal prohibition | Sept. 19, 1933* |
| 23 |  | (new) | 1917 | JR 17 | Prohibition | Nov. 6, 1917* |
| 24 | 1 |  | 1941 | CA 3 | Contracts, grazing and agricultural leases, state lands | - |
| 24 | 1 |  | 1967 | CA 3 | Geothermal steam development on public lands | Nov. 7, 1967* |
| 24 |  | (new) | 1925 | CA 2 | Apportionment of money from state lands | - |
| 24 | [1, 2] | (new) | 1927 | CA 2 | Executive and county officers, four-year terms for state, two-year terms for county | - |
| 24 |  | (new) | 1927 | CA 4 | Contracts for development and production of minerals on state lands | Nov. 6, 1928 |
| 25 |  | (new) | 1935 | CA 3 | Land exchange between New Mexico and U.S. | - |
| 25 | [1-6] |  | 1951 | CA 8 | Nonpartisan selection of judges | - |
|  | [1-4] | (new) | 1949 | CA 8 | Natural resources trust fund | - |
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[^7]:    *Special election
    **Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
    ***Not certified by order of state supreme court
    +CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

[^8]:    *Special election
    **Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
    ***Not certified by order of state supreme court
    +CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

[^9]:    *Special election
    **Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
    ***Not certified by order of state supreme court
    +CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

[^10]:    *Special election
    **Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
    ***Not certified by order of state supreme court
    +CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

[^11]:    *Special election
    **Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
    ***Not certified by order of state supreme court
    +CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

[^12]:    *Special election
    **Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
    ***Not certified by order of state supreme court
    +CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

[^13]:    *Special election
    **Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
    ***Not certified by order of state supreme court
    +CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

[^14]:    *Special election
    **Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
    ***Not certified by order of state supreme court
    +CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

[^15]:    *Special election
    **Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
    ***Not certified by order of state supreme court
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[^16]:    *Special election
    **Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
    ***Not certified by order of state supreme court
    +CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

[^17]:    *Special election
    **Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
    ***Not certified by order of state supreme court
    +CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

[^18]:    *Blue ballot voted on at what was deemed the first state general election by Congress even though it was held in an odd-numbered year.

[^19]:    *Blue ballot voted on at what was deemed the first state general election by Congress even though it was held in an odd-numbered year.
    **Does not include CA 8, which was approved by voters but ordered not certified by the state Supreme Court.

[^20]:    *No corresponding vote for governor in this election
    **Not a constitutional amendment
    ***Not certified by order of the state supreme court
    ****Failed to receive the constitutionally required majority

[^21]:    *No corresponding vote for governor in this election
    **Not a constitutional amendment
    ***Not certified by order of the state supreme court
    ****Failed to receive the constitutionally required majority

[^22]:    *No corresponding vote for governor in this election
    **Not a constitutional amendment
    ***Not certified by order of the state supreme court
    ****Failed to receive the constitutionally required majority

[^23]:    *No corresponding vote for governor in this election
    **Not a constitutional amendment
    ***Not certified by order of the state supreme court
    ****Failed to receive the constitutionally required majority

[^24]:    *The State Board of Education was eliminated and replaced with the Public Education Commission when the voters approved Constitutional Amendment 1 in the special election in September 2003.
    **The State Corporation Commission was eliminated and replaced with the Public Regulation Commission when voters approved Constitutional Amendment 6 in the general election in November 1996.
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[^29]:    *Special election
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    + CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

[^31]:    *Special election
    **Submission conditioned upon action of constitutional convention - automatically withdrawn
    ***Not certified by order of state supreme court
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    + CA numbers here and on the 2007 ballot are opposite the numbers in the 2007 session laws.

