

# SOUTHWESTERN PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN

Zoe Lees, RVP, Planning and Policy

Water and Natural Resources Committee Meeting Las Cruces, NM

October 4, 2013

# **XCEL ENERGY OVERVIEW**

## Fully Regulated and Vertically Integrated Utility

Four OpCos Across 8 States

**\$60 Billion** Enterprise Value **2.1 Million** Natural Gas Customers

**Electric Customers** 

3.8 Million



## **Comprehensive Sustainability Goals**



# **SPS New Mexico service territory**

SPS serves approx. 125,000 customers in the following 16 towns in New Mexico:

Artesia	Carlsbad	
Clovis	Dexter	
Eunice	Hagerman	
Hobbs	Jal	
Lake Arthur	Loving	
Malaga	Otis	
Portales	Roswell	
Texico	Tucumcari	



# **New Mexico Customers**



# **Transitioning to Renewables**



# **SPS IRP Overview**



6

### **IRP Modeling Results** Statement of Need Inputs

- All scenarios included a substantial build out of new renewable generation ranging from 4,281MW to 6,631MW of wind and solar generation between 2028 and 2030
- New dispatchable additions ranged from 1,043MW to 4,290MW during the same period
- Total resource additions ranged from 5,324MW to 10,211MW
- For context, SPS currently has ~7,500MW of installed capacity with an accredited capacity of 5,400 and a system peak of ~4,200MW

#### Key Modeling Takeaways SPS Scenarios

#### STRENGTHS

- A continued and substantial need for new, lowcost, renewable generation through the end of the decade and beyond
- The build-out of new renewable generation requires additional dispatchable capacity that conforms with New Mexico's Energy Transition Act

### **OPPORTUNITIES**

- There's an increasing need for alternative, carbonfree, dispatchable, and economic technologies over the 20-year planning period
- SPS's 2023 IRP analysis evaluated long-duration storage and hydrogen-fired combustion turbines technologies, however, alternative, carbon-free, and dispatchable technologies are/will become available and are encouraged to bid into RFP

#### WEAKNESSES

 Currently, lithium-ion battery energy storage is the predominate, commercially-available carbon-free, dispatchable technology – However, its duration is relatively limited (i.e., 4 – 8 hours)

#### THREATS

 Relying solely on wind, solar, and short-duration battery energy storage is not economical and presents reliability challenges

# **Capacity Need Summary**

## Load Growth, Retirements, & Resource Adequacy Requirements

- SPS is forecasting a Summer peak demand of between 4,771MW and 6,517MW by 2030
- Assuming the existing Southwest Power Pool PRM of 15%, SPS's capacity need is between 1,760MW and 3,768MW in 2030
- Capacity need increases to 1,903MW and 3,963MW under a hypothetical 18% summer PRM requirement
- Includes retirement of 1,825 MW of thermal retirements by 2030



9

2031

2032

2033

### **IRP Modeling Results**

### New Generating Resources: Cost and Technical Capability Certainties

- SPS relied upon generic cost estimates and projected performance capabilities
- The level of accuracy is dependent upon the maturity of the technology
- Actual cost estimates and performance capabilities will be determined by future competitive solicitations
- SPS's 2023 IRP analysis concentrated on long-duration storage and hydrogen-fired combustion turbines technologies, however, other technologies are available and are encouraged to bid into RFPs

#### Commercially Available (Costs are well known)

- Wind
- Solar
- Battery Energy Storage
- Combustion Turbine Generators
- Combined Cycle Generation

#### Emerging Technologies (Costs are less known)

Long-Duration Energy StorageHydrogen Infrastructure & Costs

# **Carbon Free Technology Initiative**



- Members: 100+ EEI member utility participants, 5 NGOs, EPRI, NEI, others
- Facilitated workgroups identified priority technologies and approaches
- Advocacy led to success in tripling of DOE's RD&D budget through IIJA
- Identified and recommend policies for five technology groups
- <u>www.carbonfreetech.org</u>



Advanced EE & Long Duration Storage

Proposed by EPA as compliance pathway for emissions reduction for fossil fuel units

# Potential Carbon Reduction Pathways – 2020-2050

#### 2020s - "Foundation for the Future"

- **Execute** on traditional solar, wind and storage investments to meet 80% by 2030 goals
- Monitor and research advanced technology developments, partly through 3rd party technology fund investments and partnerships with universities / collaborations such as LCRI
- **Pilot** select advanced technology and LDC solutions

#### 2030s - "Implement Some Deep Tech"

- Implement or start construction on first round of CF 2050 technology that has been proven commercially viable
- Focus first on lower cost carbon reduction tech that have begun to come down the cost curve
- Mix of long duration energy storage, hydrogen, nuclear SMR, carbon capture and LDC solutions

#### 2040s - "The Last 10%"

- Shut down, capture carbon or blend hydrogen at final gas plants
- Implement relatively more expensive carbon reduction generation and storage as needed
- Finalize any gas LDC decarbonization, depending on policy path

# **Carbon Free Technologies: What we are evaluating**



# **Current IIJA Applications**

Торіс	Submitted	DOE Funds Requested
LDES: Form Energy, MN & CO	March 3	\$70 million
GRIP: Xcel Energy Grid Resiliency – Smart Grid LTE – All OpCos	March 17	\$50 million
GRIP: CO State Grid Resiliency – (prime: CO Energy Office)	March 17	\$50 million; \$30 million earmarked for Xcel Energy
GRIP: Wildfire Mitigation & Extreme Weather Resilience (Grid Resiliency)- All OpCos	April 6	\$100 million
H2 Hub: Western Interstate Hydrogen Hub (WISHH)- PSCo	April 7	\$526 million
H2 Hub: Heartland Hydrogen Hub (Heartland)- NSP	April 7	\$560 million
GRIP: Joint Transmission Interconnection Queue Projects and Portfolios, JTIQ (prime: MN Dept. of Commerce)	May 19	\$225 million
Hydro-Electric: Cabin Creek Generation Upgrades - PSCo	June 20	\$5 million
LDES: Ambri Battery - SPS	September 15	\$5 million
Maximum award total		\$1.57 billion